

Representations up to homotopy and Bott's spectral sequence for Lie groupoids

Camilo Arias Abad* and Marius Crainic†

November 15, 2009

Abstract

This belongs to a series of papers devoted to the study of the cohomology of classifying spaces of Lie groupoids. Our aim here is to introduce and study the notion of representation up to homotopy of Lie groupoids, the resulting derived category, and to show that the adjoint representation is well defined as a representation up to homotopy. As an application, we extend Bott's spectral sequence converging to the cohomology of classifying spaces from Lie groups to Lie groupoids. Our work is closely related to and inspired by Behrend [3], Bott [5], and Getzler [10].

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	4
2.1	Groupoids	4
2.2	Classifying spaces and their cohomology	6
2.3	Representations and differentiable cohomology	7
2.4	Connections and basic curvatures on groupoids	9
3	Representations up to homotopy	13
3.1	The category of representations up to homotopy	13
3.2	Main example: the adjoint representation	18
3.3	Operations	19
3.4	Cohomology and the derived category	21
3.5	The vanishing theorem	24
4	The formula of Bott for general Lie groupoids	26
4.1	The statements	26
4.2	The proofs	27

*Institut für Mathematik, Universität Zürich, camilo.arias.abad@math.uzh.ch. Partially supported by NWO Grant "Symmetries and Deformations in Geometry" and by SNF Grant 20-113439.

†Mathematics Institute, Utrecht University, M.Crainic@math.uu.nl. Partially supported by NWO Grant "Symmetries and Deformations in Geometry".

1 Introduction

This work belongs to a series of papers whose original motivation was the study of the cohomology of classifying spaces of Lie groupoids. Our aim is to introduce and study the notion of representation up to homotopy of Lie groupoids; as an application, we show how the Bott spectral sequence converging to the cohomology of the classifying space can be extended to general Lie groupoids.

Lie groupoids are intimately related to various branches of geometry and topology, such as the transversal geometry of foliations, Lie theory and Poisson geometry, to mention a few. Also, via their convolution algebras, they are the main source of examples of noncommutative spaces. Lie groupoids can be viewed as global counterparts of Lie algebroids, in the same way that Lie groups are related to Lie algebras. They very often arise after an integration process (simplest example: the flow of a vector field).

Representations up to homotopy: One of the two main themes of this paper is the concept of representation up to homotopy. This notion is new even in the case of Lie groups; while the notion of representation has a clear extension to the framework of Lie groupoids, there are in general very few such representations. For instance, in the context of ordinary representations there is no good notion of an adjoint representation- an object which, as we will see, is important for understanding the cohomology of classifying spaces. What we propose here is the notion of representation up to homotopy and the resulting categories $\text{Rep}^\infty(G)$ associated to groupoids G . We also point out that, algebraically, this is just a shadow of a DG category, and a derived category $\mathcal{D}(G)$ appears as a more appropriate invariant of G .

The idea behind representations up to homotopy is to represent the groupoid G not in a vector bundle, but in a complex of vector bundles

$$E^\bullet : \dots \xrightarrow{\partial} E^0 \xrightarrow{\partial} E^1 \xrightarrow{\partial} E^2 \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$$

There are two reasons for using complexes instead of vector bundles: they encode objects which are singular (when the cohomology bundle associated to $E^\bullet$ is not smooth) and they allow more flexibility in the associativity requirements. Both features are important in order to define a good adjoint representation (see Remark 3.33 for more details). Indeed we conclude that the adjoint representation of a Lie groupoid is a representation up to homotopy.

In a representation up to homotopy, an arrow $g : x \rightarrow y$ acts as a map of complexes

$$\lambda_g : E_x^\bullet \rightarrow E_y^\bullet,$$

but we allow this action not to respect the associativity. That is, in general $\lambda_{g_1 g_2}$ and $\lambda_{g_1} \lambda_{g_2}$ are not the same map of complexes. However, they are homotopic maps, and there is a controlled and coherent way of choosing the homotopies (“curvatures”). The definition of representation up to homotopy is the cochain version of the classical notion of strongly homotopy multiplicative map, as defined in Stasheff’s book [17].

Finally, we would like to mention that representations up to homotopy of Lie groupoids are the global version of the infinitesimal case discussed in [1] which, in turn, is based on Quillen’s superconnections [15]. However, things become more intricate at the global level- and probably the main reason is the fact that, while the infinitesimal discussion is governed by De-Rham algebras (associated to algebroids), which are commutative DGA’s, the global one is governed by a DGA which is commutative only up to homotopy (the algebra of smooth groupoid cochains). This is reflected in the fact that the tensor product of representations up to homotopy of groupoids is much more subtle and hides rather surprising combinatorics of topological origin. Although we point out here what happens with the tensor products, the more precise and complete treatment is deferred to [2].

The Bott spectral sequence: The other main theme of this paper is a general Bott spectral sequence for Lie groupoids, converging to the cohomology of classifying spaces. Let us first recall the case of Lie groups. The cohomology of the classifying space BG of a Lie group G can be computed as the total cohomology of a double complex $\Omega(G_\bullet)$, which consists of differential forms on products of the group G . This space has a vertical differential which is just the De-Rham operator, and a horizontal differential which is given by the simplicial structure on the nerve of G . In [5], Bott computed the horizontal cohomologies of this double complex by proving the formula:

$$H_{\text{diff}}^p(\Omega^q(G_\bullet)) \cong H_{\text{diff}}^{p-q}(G; S^q(\mathfrak{g}^*)), \quad (1)$$

where the right hand side is the differentiable cohomology with coefficients in the symmetric powers of the coadjoint representation. Filtering the double complex by the differential form degree, he obtained a spectral sequence converging to the cohomology of BG :

$$E_1^{pq} = H_{\text{diff}}^{p-q}(G; S^q(\mathfrak{g}^*)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(BG). \quad (2)$$

In particular, due to the degree shift in the formula, the first page of the spectral sequence vanishes above the diagonal. On the other hand, it is well known that for any finite dimensional representation E of a compact Lie group G :

$$H_{\text{diff}}^k(G; E) = 0, \quad \text{for } k > 0.$$

In conclusion, in the compact case, the E_1 terms of the spectral sequence vanish outside the diagonal and Bott concludes:

$$H^{2p}(BG) \cong S^p(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G.$$

In [10], Getzler constructed a model for equivariant cohomology in the non compact case, generalizing in particular Bott's spectral sequence; this can be seen as a generalization of Bott's discussion from Lie groups to groupoids associated to Lie group actions on manifolds. Behrend [3] generalized Getzler's model to the case of flat groupoids. In this paper we generalize Bott's formula (1) and spectral sequence (2) to the case of an arbitrary Lie groupoid G .

Main results: The results of this paper can be divided into two types. On one hand, we have the general constructions: defining the notion of representations up to homotopy (and the resulting derived category) as well as the construction of the adjoint representation. On the other hand, we have several theorems, some of which we mention here. First of all, there is a vanishing result for the differentiable cohomology associated to representations up to homotopy of proper groupoids (the analogue of compact groups). Such results are useful for instance in deformation problems (see also the remarks at the beginning of subsection 3.5).

Theorem 3.35. Let $E = \bigoplus_{l=a}^b E^l$ be a unital representation up to homotopy of a proper Lie groupoid G . Then:

$$H_{\text{diff}}^q(G; E) = 0, \text{ if } q \notin [a, b].$$

Next, we generalize Bott's formula (1) to the case of an arbitrary Lie groupoid G .

Theorem 4.1. Let G be a Lie groupoid. Then, for the cohomology of the horizontal complex

$$\Omega^q(G_0) \xrightarrow{d_h} \Omega^q(G_1) \xrightarrow{d_h} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_h} \Omega^q(G_p) \xrightarrow{d_h} \cdots,$$

one has

$$H_{d_h}^p(\Omega^q(G_\bullet)) \cong H_{\text{diff}}^{p-q}(G; S^q \text{Ad}^*). \quad (3)$$

Note that, in order to give meaning to the right hand side of equation (3), we are using the adjoint representation as a representation up to homotopy and its symmetric powers. One clarification is necessary here: the construction of the spectral sequence only depends

on some of the properties of the symmetric powers of representations up to homotopy. So, while the general construction of the symmetric power operation (which comes together with the construction of tensor products already mentioned) is deferred to [2], we decided to work axiomatically by stating the properties that we require from these operations. The axioms we use are just *some* of the properties that are satisfied by the construction given in loc. cit; in particular, we do not need here the construction of $\mathcal{S}^q$ when applied to morphisms between representations up to homotopy. We decided to work axiomatically in order to simplify the presentation and to make it independent of the quite different problem of understanding the symmetric powers; also, we would like not to rule out other constructions of symmetric powers which may appear in specific situations.

Combining Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 3.35 one obtains the following result which, as pointed to us by Ping Xu, implies the existence of connections on S^1 -gerbes over separated stacks.

Corollary 4.2. Let G be a proper Lie groupoid. Then

$$H_{dh}^p(\Omega^q(G_\bullet)) = 0, \text{ if } p > q.$$

Finally, considering the filtration in the Bott-Shulman-Stasheff complex for the cohomology of BG one also concludes:

Theorem 4.3. Let G be a Lie groupoid. There is a spectral sequence converging to the cohomology of BG :

$$E_1^{pq} = H_{\text{diff}}^{p-q}(G; \mathcal{S}^q(\text{Ad}^*)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(BG).$$

Moreover, if the groupoid is proper, the E_1 terms of the spectral sequence vanish below the diagonal.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Ieke Moerdijk and James Stasheff for their comments related to this work. Also, we would like to thank B. Dherin for the many discussions we had, which greatly influenced the final outcome.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Groupoids

In this subsection we review some known facts about Lie groupoids and actions. As a general reference, we use [14].

Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids: A groupoid is a category in which all arrows are isomorphisms. A Lie groupoid is a groupoid in which the space of objects G_0 and the space of arrows G_1 are smooth manifolds and all the structure maps are smooth. More explicitly, a Lie groupoid is given by a manifold of objects G_0 and a manifold of arrows G_1 together with smooth maps $s, t : G_1 \rightarrow G_0$ the source and target map, a composition map $m : G_1 \times_{G_0} G_1 \rightarrow G_1$, an inversion map $\iota : G \rightarrow G$ and an identity map $\epsilon : G_0 \rightarrow G_1$ that sends an object to the corresponding identity. These structure maps should satisfy the usual identities for a category. The source and target maps are required to be surjective submersions and therefore the domain of the composition map is a manifold. We will usually denote the space of objects of a Lie groupoid by M and say that G is a groupoid over M .

Recall also that a Lie algebroid over a manifold M is a vector bundle $\pi : A \rightarrow M$ together with a bundle map $\rho : A \rightarrow TM$, called the anchor map and a Lie bracket on the space $\Gamma(A)$ of sections of A satisfying Leibniz identity:

$$[\alpha, f\beta] = f[\alpha, \beta] + \rho(\alpha)(f)\beta,$$

for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$. It follows that ρ induces a Lie algebra map at the level of sections. Lie algebroids are the infinitesimal counterparts of Lie groupoids. Given a

Lie groupoid G , its Lie algebroid $A = A(G)$ is defined as follows. As a vector bundle, it is the restriction of the kernel of the differential of the source map to M . Hence, its fiber at $x \in M$ is the tangent space at the identity arrow 1_x of the source fiber $s^{-1}(x)$. The anchor map is the differential of the target map. To describe the bracket, we need to discuss invariant vector fields. A right invariant vector field on a Lie groupoid G is a vector field α which is tangent to the fibers of s and such that, if g, h are two composable arrows and we denote by R^h the right multiplication by h , then

$$\alpha(gh) = D_g(R^h)(\alpha(g)).$$

It is not difficult to see that the space of right invariant vector fields is closed under the Lie bracket of vector fields, on one hand, and is isomorphic to $\Gamma(A)$, on the other hand. All together, we get the desired Lie bracket on $\Gamma(A)$.

Example 2.1. A Lie group G can be seen as a Lie groupoid in which the space of objects is a point. Associated to any manifold M there is the pair groupoid $M \times M$ over M for which there is exactly one arrow between each pair of points. Associated to any foliation is a Lie groupoid, the holonomy groupoid of the foliation, which arises as the “smallest desingularization of the leaf space”. If a Lie group G acts on a manifold M there is an associated action groupoid over M denoted $G \ltimes M$ whose space of arrows is $G \times M$ (see also below).

Infinitesimally, examples of Lie algebroids are Lie algebras, tangent bundles, Poisson manifolds, foliations and Lie algebra actions.

Unlike the case of Lie algebras, Lie’s third theorem does not hold in this generality: not every Lie algebroid can be integrated to a Lie groupoid. The precise conditions for the integrability are described in [9]. However, Lie’s first and second theorem do hold. Due to the first one- which says that if a Lie algebroid is integrable then it admits a canonical source simply connected integration- one may often assume that the Lie groupoids under discussion satisfy this simply-connectedness condition. Examples of groupoids which arise via Lie algebroids are the symplectic groupoids of Poisson geometry and the monodromy groupoids of foliation theory.

Actions: A left action of a groupoid G on a space $P \xrightarrow{\nu} M$ over M is a map $G_1 \times_M P \rightarrow P$ defined on the space $G_1 \times_M P$ of pairs (g, p) with $s(g) = \nu(p)$, which satisfies $\nu(gp) = t(g)$ and the usual conditions for actions. Associated to the action of G on $P \rightarrow M$ there is the action groupoid, denoted $G \ltimes P$. The base space is P , the space of arrows is $G_1 \times_M P$ we have just mentioned, the source map is the second projection, while the target map is the action. The multiplication in this groupoid is $(g, p)(h, q) = (gh, q)$.

This discussion has an infinitesimal version. Let A be an algebroid over M . An infinitesimal action of A on $P \xrightarrow{\nu} M$ is given by a vector bundle map $\tilde{\rho}_P : \nu^*A \rightarrow TP$ with the property that $(d\nu) \circ \tilde{\rho} = \rho$ (the anchor of A) and the induced map at the level of sections, $\tilde{\rho}_P : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(P)$ is a Lie algebra map. Associated to such an infinitesimal action there is the action algebroid $A \ltimes P$ over P . As a vector bundle, it is just ν^*A , the anchor is the infinitesimal action, while the bracket is determined by the Leibniz identity and $[\nu^*\alpha, \nu^*\beta] = \nu^*[\alpha, \beta]$. If a Lie groupoid G acts on P , then there is an induced action of its Lie algebroid A on P , and the Lie algebroid of $G \ltimes P$ is $A \ltimes P$.

Example 2.2. For a Lie groupoid G , we denote by G_k the space of strings of k composable arrows of G . When we write a string of k composable arrows $(g_1, \dots, g_k)$ we mean that $t(g_i) = s(g_{i-1})$. Since the source and target maps are submersions, all the G_k are manifolds. Each of the G_k ’s carries a natural left action. First of all, we view G_k over M via the map

$$t : G_k \rightarrow M, \quad (g_1, \dots, g_k) \mapsto t(g_1).$$

The left action of G on $G_k \xrightarrow{t} M$ is just

$$g(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k) = (gg_1, g_2, \dots, g_k).$$

2.2 Classifying spaces and their cohomology

In this subsection we recall the simplicial construction of classifying spaces and the Bott-Shulman-Stasheff model for their cohomologies. As references, we use [6, 16].

Classifying spaces: We now recall the construction of the classifying space of a Lie groupoid, as the geometric realization of its nerve. First of all, the nerve of G is the following simplicial manifold. The manifold of k -simplices is the G_k previously described, with the simplicial structure given by the face maps:

$$d_i(g_1, \dots, g_k) = \begin{cases} (g_2, \dots, g_k) & \text{if } i = 0 \\ (g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_k) & \text{if } 0 < i < k \\ (g_1, \dots, g_{k-1}) & \text{if } i = k \end{cases}$$

and the degeneracy maps:

$$s_i(g_1, \dots, g_k) = (g_1, \dots, g_i, 1, g_{i+1}, \dots, g_k)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq k$.

The general constructions on simplicial manifolds [16] applied to our case produce a new topological space, the (thick) geometric realization, and this is the classifying space BG of G . Explicitly, BG is the quotient space

$$BG = (\coprod_{k \geq 0} G_k \times \Delta^k) / \sim$$

obtained by identifying $(d_i(p), v) \in G_k \times \Delta^k$ with $(p, \delta_i(v)) \in G_{k+1} \times \Delta^{k+1}$ for any $p \in G_{k+1}$ and any $v \in \Delta^k$. Here Δ^k denotes the standard topological k -simplex and $\delta_i : \Delta^k \rightarrow \Delta^{k+1}$ is the inclusion on the i -th face.

The Bott-Shulman-Stasheff complex: Next, we move to the cohomology of classifying spaces and recall the Bott-Shulman-Stasheff complex. In general, BG is infinite dimensional and it is not a manifold. However, there is a De-Rham theory that allows one to compute the cohomology of BG . The Bott-Shulman complex, denoted $\Omega(G_\bullet)$, is the double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ & \uparrow d_{DR} & & \uparrow d_{DR} & & \uparrow d_{DR} & \\ \Omega^2(G_0) & \xrightarrow{d_h} & \Omega^2(G_1) & \xrightarrow{d_h} & \Omega^2(G_2) & \xrightarrow{d_h} & \dots \\ & \uparrow d_{DR} & & \uparrow d_{DR} & & \uparrow d_{DR} & \\ \Omega^1(G_0) & \xrightarrow{d_h} & \Omega^1(G_1) & \xrightarrow{d_h} & \Omega^1(G_2) & \xrightarrow{d_h} & \dots \\ & \uparrow d_{DR} & & \uparrow d_{DR} & & \uparrow d_{DR} & \\ \Omega^0(G_0) & \xrightarrow{d_h} & \Omega^0(G_1) & \xrightarrow{d_h} & \Omega^0(G_2) & \xrightarrow{d_h} & \dots \end{array}$$

where the vertical differential is just the De-Rham differential and the horizontal differential d_h is given by the simplicial structure,

$$d_h(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+p+q} d_i^*(\omega),$$

where $\omega \in \Omega^q(G_p)$. The total complex of $\Omega(G_\bullet)$ is the De Rham model for the cohomology of BG . We will also need the normalized Bott-Shulman-Stasheff complex, denoted $\hat{\Omega}(G_\bullet)$,

which is the subcomplex of $\Omega(G_\bullet)$ that consists of forms $\eta \in \Omega^q(G_p)$ such that $s_i^*(\eta) = 0$ for all $i = 0, \dots, p-1$. The inclusion $\hat{\Omega}(G_\bullet) \rightarrow \Omega(G_\bullet)$ induces an isomorphism in cohomology.

Theorem 2.3. (Dupont, Bott, Shulman, Stasheff, ...) *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$H(\text{Tot}(\Omega(G_\bullet))) \cong H(BG).$$

Example 2.4. When G is a Lie group, one recovers (up to homotopy) the usual classifying space of G . More generally, for the groupoid $G \ltimes M$ associated to an action of G on M , $B(G \ltimes M)$ is a model for the homotopy quotient

$$B(G \ltimes M) \cong M_G = (EG \times M)/G,$$

hence the cohomology of $B(G \ltimes M)$ is isomorphic to the equivariant (Borel) cohomology of M .

Classical models and the Bott spectral sequence: The complex $\Omega(G_\bullet)$ computes the cohomology of the classifying space of G and is the place where various geometric structures live (e.g. the multiplicative two-forms of Poisson and even Dirac geometry). However it is not optimal as a model for the cohomology of BG . Ideally, one would like to have a smaller infinitesimal model.

Let us first look at the case when G is a Lie group. If G is compact, a well-known theorem of Borel asserts that

$$H^*(BG) \cong S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G.$$

For general Lie groups, Bott provides a spectral sequence which, when G is compact, degenerates giving the previous isomorphism. The Bott spectral sequence has the form:

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^{p-q}(G; S^q \mathfrak{g}^*) \implies H^{p+q}(BG),$$

Here $H(G; V)$ denotes the *differentiable cohomology* of G (see below) with coefficients in the representation V of G . Also, $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is the *coadjoint representation* of G and $S^* \mathfrak{g}^*$ is the *symmetric power of the coadjoint representation*. We remark at this point that while the notion of representation and that of differentiable cohomology extends without any problem to the context of Lie groupoids, the situation is quite different when it comes to the adjoint representation and taking its symmetric powers. These problems will be solved in this paper.

Regarding a better understanding of the cohomology of classifying spaces, another case that is worth mentioning here is that of the groupoid arising from an action of a Lie group G on a manifold M . As mentioned above, the resulting cohomology is the equivariant cohomology $H_G(M)$. Again, when M is compact, the situation is particularly nice: one can find a rather small infinitesimal model consisting on “equivariant differential forms” (which depends on the Lie algebra of G rather than on G itself) known as the Cartan model for equivariant cohomology. For noncompact groups a different model was found by Getzler [10], which is a combination of Cartan’s model and the model computing differentiable cohomology of G - we will refer to it as the Cartan-Getzler model. In particular, this construction induces a spectral sequence very similar to the Bott spectral sequence which in the compact case shows that the Cartan model does compute equivariant cohomology. We will see that such spectral sequences are a particular case of a Bott spectral sequence for Lie groupoids.

2.3 Representations and differentiable cohomology

In this subsection we review the notion of representation of Lie groupoids and associated (differentiable) cohomology, from the point of view of differential graded algebra. It will be this point of view that will be taken for introducing the notion of representations up to homotopy.

Differentiable cohomology: For a Lie groupoid G over M , the differentiable cohomology of G , denoted $H_{\text{diff}}^*(G)$, or just $H^*(G)$, is the cohomology of the first line in the Bott-Shulman complex. We denote by $C^\bullet(G)$ this first line. Hence $C^k(G)$ consists of smooth functions defined on G_k while its differential, denoted

$$d : C^k(G) \longrightarrow C^{k+1}(G),$$

is the alternating sum of the d_i^* 's. The space $C^\bullet(G)$ has a natural algebra structure given by

$$(f \star g)(g_1, \dots, g_{k+p}) = (-1)^{kp} f(g_1, \dots, g_k) h(g_{k+1}, \dots, g_{k+p}),$$

for $f \in C^k(G)$, $h \in C^p(G)$. In this way, $C^\bullet(G)$ becomes a DGA (differential graded algebra), i.e. the differential d and the product $\star$ satisfy the derivation identity

$$d(f \star h) = d(f) \star h + (-1)^k f \star d(h).$$

In particular, $H^\bullet(G)$ inherits a graded algebra structure.

Example 2.5. When G is a Lie group, one recovers the group cohomology of G with smooth cochains. When G is compact, using the Haar measure one can easily see that this cohomology vanishes in positive degree. This vanishing result actually holds for all proper groupoids (i.e. Lie groupoids G over M with the property that the map $(s, t) : G \longrightarrow M \times M$ is proper). In particular, it also holds for the pair groupoid $M \times M$ over M . The vanishing for the pair groupoid can be seen as a consequence of another important property of differentiable cohomology: its Morita invariance (see [7]).

For a Lie group G , $H^\bullet(G)$ is related to the Lie algebra cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ by the so called Van Est map. Here $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of G . The Van Est map is known to be an isomorphism up to degree k provided G is k -connected. This relationship can actually be extended to all Lie groupoids. If the Lie group G is connected, $H^\bullet(G)$ is isomorphic to $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ - the cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}$ relative to the maximal compact subgroup K of G . In particular, $H^\bullet(G)$ is finite dimensional for all connected Lie groups.

Finally, for the groupoid $G \ltimes M$ associated to an action of a Lie group G on M , $H^\bullet(G \ltimes M)$ is the differentiable cohomology of G with coefficients in $C^\infty(M)$.

Actions on vector bundles: Given a Lie groupoid G over M , a quasi-action of G on a vector bundle E over M is an operation which associates to any arrow $g : x \longrightarrow y$ in G a linear map

$$\lambda_g : E_x \longrightarrow E_y$$

which varies smoothly with respect to g (see also below). The quasi-action is called unital if λ_g is the identity map whenever g is a unit. Finally, the quasi-action is called an action if the action condition

$$\lambda_g \circ \lambda_h = \lambda_{gh}$$

holds for all $g, h \in G$ composable.

Alternatively, for a vector bundle E over M , one can talk about the general linear groupoid of E , denoted $GL(E)$. It is a groupoid over M and its arrows from $x \in M$ to $y \in M$ are the linear isomorphisms from E_x to E_y . This space has a canonical smooth structure and, together with the composition of linear maps, it becomes a Lie groupoid. With this, a quasi-action of G on M is a smooth map $\lambda : G \longrightarrow GL(E)$ which commutes with the source and the target maps. It is unital if it also commutes with the unit map. And it is an action if it is a morphism of Lie groupoids.

There is yet another, more algebraic way to view such actions. Given a vector bundle E over M we form the graded vector space $C^\bullet(G; E)$ whose degree k part is

$$C^k(G; E) := \Gamma(G_k, t^* E).$$

This is a right graded module over the algebra $C^\bullet(G)$: given $\eta \in C^k(G; E)$, $f \in C^{k'}(G)$, their product $\eta \star f \in C^{k+k'}(G; E)$ is defined by

$$(\eta \star f)(g_1, \dots, g_{k+k'}) = (-1)^{kk'} \eta(g_1, \dots, g_k) f(g_{k+1}, \dots, g_{k+k'}).$$

One also considers the normalized subspace $\hat{C}^\bullet(G; E)$ of $C^\bullet(G; E)$ consisting of those η with the property that $s_i^*(\eta) = 0$ for all i . In general, a quasi-action λ of G on E induces a degree one operator

$$D_\lambda : C^\bullet(G; E) \longrightarrow C^{\bullet+1}(G; E)$$

by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda(\eta)(g_1, \dots, g_{k+1}) &= (-1)^k \{ \lambda_{g_1} \eta(g_2, \dots, g_{k+1}) + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \eta(g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_{k+1}) + (-1)^{k+1} \eta(g_1, \dots, g_k) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, in the particular case when E is the trivial line bundle and λ is the trivial action, this is precisely the differential d on $C^\bullet(G)$. With this, we have the following:

Lemma 2.6. *The construction $\lambda \mapsto D_\lambda$ induces a 1-1 correspondence between quasi-actions λ of G on E and degree 1 operators D_λ on $C^\bullet(G; E)$ satisfying the Leibniz identity*

$$D_\lambda(\eta \star f) = D_\lambda(\eta) \star f + (-1)^k \eta \star d(f),$$

for $\eta \in C^k(G; E)$, $f \in C^{k'}(G)$. Moreover,

1. λ is unital if and only if D_λ preserves the normalized subspace $\hat{C}(G; E)$.
2. λ is an action if and only if it is unital and $D_\lambda^2 = 0$ (i.e. $C^\bullet(G; E)$ becomes a right differential graded module over $C^\bullet(E)$).

Proof. The quasi-action can be recovered from D_λ as follows: for $g : x \longrightarrow y$ in G , $v \in E_x$, choose $\alpha \in \Gamma(E)$ such that $\alpha(x) = v$ and put

$$\lambda_g(v) = \alpha(y) + d_\lambda(\alpha)(g).$$

This does not depend on the choice of α . Indeed, if β is another section with this property, we may assume that $\beta - \alpha = f\gamma$ where $f \in C^\infty(M)$ vanishes at x and $\gamma \in \Gamma(E)$, and then one uses the Leibniz identity. The statement about unitality is immediate. The last part follows by a straightforward computation. $\square$

Definition 2.7. *Let G be a Lie groupoid over M . A representation of G is a vector bundle E over M together with an action λ of G on E . Given such a representation, the differentiable cohomology of G with coefficients in E , denoted $H^\bullet(G; E)$ is the cohomology of the complex $(C^\bullet(G; E), d_\lambda)$.*

2.4 Connections and basic curvatures on groupoids

In this subsection we introduce the notion of Ehresmann connections on Lie groupoids and their associated (basic) curvatures. The choice of such a connection will be needed in order to construct the adjoint representation of a Lie groupoid (a representation up to homotopy); up to isomorphism, the adjoint representation is independent of this choice. The discussion is completely parallel to the one from the infinitesimal case (Subsection 2.2 of [1]). However, the presentation here is self-contained. One reason comes from the fact that Ehresmann connections on Lie groupoids are of independent interest, we believe. With further flatness conditions they have already appeared in [3, 18].

Throughout this subsection, G is a Lie groupoid over M . Recall that the unit map realizes M as an embedded submanifold of M . Accordingly, for $x \in M$, the unit $1_x \in G$ at x will be denoted by x . Similarly, $T_x M$ will be viewed as a subspace of $T_x G$. Note that, for all $x \in M$,

$$T_x M \oplus \text{Ker}(ds)_x = T_x G.$$

However, at arbitrary points $g \in G$, $\text{Ker}(ds)_x$ has no canonical complement.

Definition 2.8. *An Ehresmann connection on G is a sub-bundle $\mathcal{H} \subset TG$ which is complementary to (ds) and has the property that*

$$\mathcal{H}_x = T_x M, \quad \forall x \in M.$$

Here is an equivalent way of looking at connections which uses the vector bundle underlying the Lie algebroid of G :

$$A = \text{Ker}(ds)|_M.$$

The construction of the Lie algebroid actually shows that there is a short exact sequence of vector bundles over M :

$$t^* A \xrightarrow{r} TG \xrightarrow{ds} s^* TM,$$

where r is given by right translations: for $g : x \rightarrow y$ in G , $r_g = (dR_g)_{1_y} : A_y \rightarrow T_g G$. With this, we see that the following structures are equivalent:

- An Ehresmann connection $\mathcal{H}$ on G .
- A right splitting of the previous sequence, i.e. a section $\sigma : s^* TM \rightarrow TG$ of (ds) , which restricts to the natural splitting at the identities.
- A left splitting ω of the previous sequence which restricts to the natural one at the identities. Such a splitting can be viewed as a 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(G, t^* A)$ satisfying $\omega(r(\alpha)) = \alpha$ for all α .

All these are related by:

$$\omega \circ r = \text{Id}, \quad (ds) \circ \sigma = \text{Id}, \quad r \circ \omega + \sigma \circ (ds) = \text{Id}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \text{Ker}(\sigma) = \text{Im}(\omega).$$

From now on, when talking about a connection on G , we will make no distinction between the sub-bundle $\mathcal{H}$, the splitting σ and the form ω . In particular, we will also say that σ is a connection on G . Note that we will often say connection instead of Ehresmann connection.

Lemma 2.9. *Every Lie groupoid admits an Ehresmann connection.*

Proof. A standard partition of unity argument. □

Remark 2.10. Of course, there is a symmetric version of this definition which uses the target map instead of s . The two are equivalent: any $\mathcal{H}$ as above induces a sub-bundle

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}} := (d\iota)(\mathcal{H})$$

which is complementary to $\text{Ker}(dt)$ and, at points $x \in M$, it coincides with the image of $T_x M$. Similarly, there is a short exact sequence of vector bundles over M :

$$s^* A \xrightarrow{l} TG \xrightarrow{dt} t^* TM$$

where l is given by left translations, and a connection $\mathcal{H}$ is the same as a splitting $(\overline{\omega}, \overline{\sigma})$ of this sequence. In terms of (ω, σ) ,

$$\overline{\sigma}_g(v) = (d\iota)_{g^{-1}}(\sigma_{g^{-1}}(v)), \quad \overline{\omega}_g(X) = \omega_{g^{-1}}(d\iota)_g(X).$$

What a connection gives us is, first of all, quasi-actions of G on A and on TM .

Definition 2.11. *Given a connection σ on G , we define the quasi-actions of G on TM and A , both denoted λ , given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_g(X) &= (dt)_g(\sigma_g(X)), \\ \lambda_g(\alpha) &= -\omega_g(l_g(\alpha)),\end{aligned}$$

where $X \in T_{s(g)}M$ and $\alpha \in A_{s(g)}$.

The next piece of data that a connection gives us is a curvature term. Thinking of $\mathcal{H}$ as an Ehresmann connection on the bundle $s : G \rightarrow M$, one can talk about its Ehresmann curvature. There is however another type of curvature, called the basic curvature, which is more important for our purposes- it measures the failure of $\mathcal{H}$ being closed under the multiplication induced from G . For the actual definition, we prefer to use σ . Given $(g, h) \in G_2$ that we illustrate as:

$$y \xleftarrow{g} \xleftarrow{h} x$$

and given $v \in T_x M$, the expression

$$\sigma_{gh}(v) - (dm)_{g,h}(\sigma_g(\lambda_h(v)), \sigma_h(v)) \in T_{gh}G$$

is killed by $(ds)_{gh}$, so it belongs to the image of r_{gh} . We denote by

$$K_\sigma^{\text{bas}}(g, h)v \in A_y$$

the resulting element. When we vary g, h and v we obtain a section of a vector bundle over G_2 .

Definition 2.12. *Given a connection σ on G , we define the basic curvature of σ as the resulting section*

$$K_\sigma^{\text{bas}} \in \Gamma(G_2; \text{Hom}(s^*TM, t^*A)).$$

A Cartan connection on G is an Ehresmann connection with the property that its basic curvature vanishes.

Note that the basic curvature could be defined more directly (but less intuitively) by the formula:

$$K_\sigma^{\text{bas}}(g, h)v = -\omega_{gh}((dm)_{g,h}(\sigma_g(\lambda_h(v)), \sigma_h(v))).$$

In words, the basic curvature can be described as the failure of the “multiplicativity of ω ”. Note that, in terms of the bundle $\mathcal{H}$, the main property of the curvature can be rephrased as follows. To state it, recall that TG is naturally a groupoid over TM : its structure maps are just the differentials of the structure maps of G . It follows that

Lemma 2.13. *Given a connection on G , the associated bundle $\mathcal{H}$ is a subgroupoid of TG if and only if the basic curvature vanishes.*

Remark 2.14. The notion of a connection on a Lie groupoid already appeared in Behrend’s paper [3] and in Tang’s [18]. However, our terminology is different from the one in those papers. For Behrend, a connection is what we call a Cartan connection, and a flat connection is a Cartan connection which is integrable as a distribution. In [3] the author generalizes Getzler’s model for equivariant cohomology to the case of groupoids endowed with flat Cartan connections, and mentions that it may be possible to describe the spectral sequence using a less restrictive type of connection (see Remark 3.14 therein). Indeed, in the present paper we explain the construction of such a spectral sequence for an arbitrary connection in terms of representations up to homotopy. While connections (in the sense of the present paper) exist for arbitrary Lie groupoids, the existence of a flat Cartan connection is very restrictive. Indeed, one can show that if G is a Lie groupoid over a compact simply connected manifold which has simply connected source fibers, then the existence of a flat Cartan connection

implies that G is the action groupoid associated to the action of a Lie group on a manifold. In order to prove this, one observes that a connection σ on G induces a connection ∇ on the vector bundle A over M . Moreover, the fact the basic curvature of σ is zero implies that the basic curvature of ∇ (as defined in [1]) vanishes. Also, the flatness of σ implies that ∇ is flat. As shown in Proposition 2.12 of [1], these conditions guarantee that A is the Lie algebroid associated to a Lie algebra action. By the uniqueness of the integration, one concludes that G is the groupoid associated to the corresponding group action.

The main properties of the quasi-actions and of the basic curvature are collected in the following lemma. Note that it will be the notion of representation up to homotopy that will allow us to understand all these properties in a unified, more conceptual manner: they say that the adjoint representation is well-defined as a representation up to homotopy.

Proposition 2.15. *Given a connection σ on G , the following relations are satisfied:*

1. *The anchor $\rho : A \longrightarrow TM$ is equivariant, i.e.*

$$\rho(\lambda_g(\alpha)) = \lambda_g(\rho(\alpha)), \quad (4)$$

for all $g \in G$ and $\alpha \in A_{s(g)}$.

2. *For all*

$$z \xleftarrow{g} y \xleftarrow{h} x, \quad X \in T_x M, \quad \alpha \in A_x,$$

one has:

$$\lambda_g \lambda_h(X) - \lambda_{gh}(X) = \rho(K_\sigma^{bas}(g, h)(X)), \quad (5)$$

$$\lambda_g \lambda_h(\alpha) - \lambda_{gh}(\alpha) = K_\sigma^{bas}(g, h)(\rho(\alpha)). \quad (6)$$

3. *For all*

$$z \xleftarrow{g} y \xleftarrow{h} x \xleftarrow{k} w,$$

the basic curvature satisfies the cocycle equation:

$$\lambda_g K_\sigma^{bas}(h, k) - K_\sigma^{bas}(gh, k) + K_\sigma^{bas}(g, hk) - K_\sigma^{bas}(g, h) \lambda_k = 0. \quad (7)$$

Proof. For equation (4) we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\lambda_g(\alpha)) &= -dt_g \circ r_g \circ \omega_g \circ l_g(\alpha) \\ &= -dt_g \circ l_g(\alpha) + dt_g \circ \sigma_g \circ ds_g \circ l_g(\alpha) \\ &= \lambda_g(\rho(\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove equation (5):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(K_\sigma^{bas}(g, h)(X)) &= dt_{gh} \circ l_{gh} \circ \omega_{gh} \circ dm_{g,h}(\sigma_g(\lambda_h(X)), \sigma_h(X)) \\ &= dt_{gh} dm_{g,h}(\sigma_g(\lambda_h(X)), \sigma_h(X)) \\ &\quad - dt_{h,g} \circ \sigma_{gh} \circ ds_{gh} \circ dm_{g,h}(\sigma_g(\lambda_h(X)), \sigma_h(X)) \\ &= dt_{gh} \sigma_g(\lambda_h(X)) - dt_{gh} \sigma_{gh}(X) \\ &= \lambda_g(\lambda_h(X)) - \lambda_{gh}(X). \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove equation (6) we will use the fact that

$$\sigma_g(\rho(\alpha)) = l_g(\alpha) + r_g(\lambda_g(\alpha)). \quad (8)$$

With this in mind, we can compute:

$$\begin{aligned} K_\sigma^{bas}(g, h)(\rho(\alpha)) &= \omega_{gh}(dm_{g,h}(\sigma_g(\lambda_h(\rho(\alpha))), \sigma_h(\rho(\alpha)))) \\ &= \omega_{gh}(dm_{g,h}(\sigma_g(\rho(\lambda_h(\alpha))), \sigma_h(\rho(\alpha)))) \\ &= \omega_{gh}(dm_{g,h}(r_g(\lambda_g \lambda_h(\alpha)), 0)) \\ &\quad + \omega_{gh}(dm_{g,h}(0, l_h(\alpha))) \\ &\quad + \omega_{gh}(dm_{g,h}(l_g(\lambda_h(\alpha)), r_h(\lambda_h(\alpha)))) \\ &= \lambda_g \lambda_h(\alpha) + \omega_{g,h} l_{gh}(\alpha) = \lambda_g \lambda_h(\alpha) - \lambda_{gh}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

In the computation we used the fact that

$$dm_{g,h}(l_g(\beta), r_h(\beta)) = 0, \quad \forall \beta \in A_y, \quad (9)$$

as one easily verifies.

Finally, we will prove equation (7). Using equations (5) and (8) one can easily show that:

$$K_\sigma^{\text{bas}}(g, hk)(X) + \lambda_g \circ K_\sigma^{\text{bas}}(h, k)(X),$$

is equal to the expression

$$\omega_{ghk} \circ dm_{g,hk}(\sigma_g(\lambda_h \circ \lambda_k)(X) - l_g(K_\sigma^{\text{bas}}(h, k)(X)), \sigma(hk)(X))(\clubsuit).$$

Next, we can use equation (9) to prove that:

$$(\clubsuit) = \omega_{ghk} \circ dm_{g,hk}(\sigma_g(\lambda_h \circ \lambda_k(X)), dm_{h,k}(\sigma_h(\lambda_k(X)), \sigma_k(X)))(\spadesuit).$$

Then, using the associativity of the multiplication one can show that:

$$(\spadesuit) = K_\sigma^{\text{bas}}(gh, k)(X) + K_\sigma^{\text{bas}}(g, h)(\lambda_k(X)).$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

3 Representations up to homotopy

3.1 The category of representations up to homotopy

In this section we introduce the notion of representations up to homotopy of Lie groupoids. We start with the most compact definition. Given a Lie groupoid G over M , we will consider graded vector bundle $E = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} E^l$ over M , which are bounded both from above as well as from below (bounded, on short). The space of E -valued cochains on G will be considered with the total grading:

$$C(G; E)^n = \bigoplus_{k+l=n} C^k(G; E^l).$$

Given $\eta \in C^k(G; E^l)$, we say that η is of bidegree (k, l) and we denote by $|\eta| = k + l$ its total degree; we also say that k is the cocycle degree of η . As in the ungraded case, and by the same formulas, $C(G; E)$ is a right $C(G)$ -module.

Definition 3.1. A representation up to homotopy of G on a (bounded) graded vector bundle E over M is a linear degree one operator

$$D : C(G; E)^\bullet \rightarrow C(G; E)^\bullet,$$

called the structure operator of the representation up to homotopy E , satisfying $D^2 = 0$ and the Leibniz identity

$$D(\eta \star f) = D(\eta) \star f + (-1)^k \eta \star D(f)$$

for $\eta \in C(G; E)^k$ and $f \in C^\bullet(G)$.

A morphism $\Phi : E \rightarrow E'$ between two representations up to homotopy E and E' is a degree zero $C^\bullet(G)$ -linear map

$$\Phi : C(G; E)^\bullet \rightarrow C(G; E')^{\bullet+1},$$

that commutes with the structure operators of E and E' .

We denote by $\text{Rep}^\infty(G)$ the resulting category and by $\text{Rep}^\infty(G)$ the set of isomorphism classes of representations up to homotopy.

To make this more explicit, we consider the bigraded vector space $C_G(\text{End}(E))$ which, in bidegree (k, l) , is

$$C_G^k(\text{End}^l(E)) = \Gamma(G_k, \text{Hom}(s^*(E^\bullet), t^*(E^{\bullet+l}))).$$

As before, s and t are the maps $s(g_1, \dots, g_k) = s(g_k)$ and $t(g_1, \dots, g_k) = t(g_1)$. Similarly we consider the bigraded space $C_G(\text{Hom}(E, F))$ for any two vector bundle E and F .

Proposition 3.2. *There is a bijective correspondence between representations up to homotopy of G on the graded vector bundle E and sequences $\{R_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ of elements $R_k \in C^k(G; \text{End}^{1-k}(E))$ which, for all $k \geq 0$, satisfy:*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^j R_{k-1}(g_1, \dots, g_j g_{j+1}, \dots, g_k) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j R_j(g_1, \dots, g_j) \circ R_{k-j}(g_{j+1}, \dots, g_k). \quad (10)$$

Given two representations up to homotopy E and E' , with corresponding sequences $\{R_k\}$ and $\{R'_k\}$, respectively, there is a bijective correspondence between morphisms $\Phi : E \rightarrow F$ of representations up to homotopy and sequences $\{\Phi_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ of elements $\Phi_k \in C_G^k(\text{Hom}^{-k}(E, E'))$ which, for all $k \geq 0$, satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i+j=k} (-1)^j \Phi_j(g_1, \dots, g_j) \circ R_i(g_{j+1}, \dots, g_k) &= \\ &= \sum_{i+j=k} R'_j(g_1, \dots, g_j) \circ \Phi_i(g_{j+1}, \dots, g_k) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^j \Psi_{k-1}(g_1, \dots, g_j g_{j+1}, \dots, g_k). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Before looking at the proof, let us briefly discuss the statement.

Remark 3.3. In order to get an intuitive interpretation of representations up to homotopy, let us now look at the structure equations (10) for low values of k .

- Equation (10) for $k = 0$ says that $\partial := R_0 : E^\bullet \rightarrow E^{\bullet+1}$ makes E into a cochain complex of vector bundles.
- Interpreting $\lambda := R_1$ as a graded quasi-action of G on E , (10) for $k = 1$ says that $\lambda_g \partial = \partial \lambda_g$, i.e. the quasi-action is by maps of cochain complexes.
- For $k = 2$, equation(10) is

$$\lambda_{g_1} \circ \lambda_{g_2} - \lambda_{g_1 g_2} = \partial \circ R_2(g_1, g_2) + R_2(g_1, g_2) \circ \partial,$$

which says that the quasi-actions are not necessarily associative, but the operator R_2 provides homotopies between the cochain maps $\lambda_{g_1} \circ \lambda_{g_2}$ and $\lambda_{g_1 g_2}$. The higher order equations are further compatibility conditions between the homotopies.

Example 3.4. (Usual representations) Of course, any ordinary representation E of G is an example of a representation up to homotopy concentrated in degree zero.

Example 3.5. (Cocycles) Any closed cocycle $\eta \in C^k(G)$ with $k \geq 2$ induces a representation up to homotopy structure in the complex which has the trivial line bundle $\mathbb{R}$ in degrees 0 and $k - 1$, with zero differential. The structure operators are $R_1 = \lambda$ and $R_k = \eta$, where λ denotes the trivial representation of G in $\mathbb{R}$. The isomorphism class of this representation depends only on the cohomology class of η in $H_{\text{diff}}^k(G)$.

Definition 3.6. *We will say that a representation up to homotopy is weakly unital if $R_1(g) = \text{Id}$ whenever $g \in G$ is a unit. We say that it is unital if, further, $R_k(q_1, \dots, g_k) = 0$ whenever $k \geq 2$ and one of the entries is a unit.*

Remark 3.7. (Variations) If we want to view $\text{Rep}^\infty(G)$ as an invariant of the groupoid, then there are some natural variations to consider. First of all, it would be natural to require unitality. All the examples we have in mind are unital except the ones coming from cocycles (the last class of examples above). Nevertheless, these are weakly unital and, by a normalization process, they can be made unital. Actually, one can view the normalization process as a correspondence which associates to a weakly unital representation up to homotopy E a unital one, isomorphic to E itself.

Another natural variation (which may be needed for better functorial properties) is obtained by allowing unbounded complexes. In that case, one should take Proposition 3.2 as the definition of representations up to homotopy and morphisms between them. It is still possible to have a compact description, similar to Definition 3.1, but one needs some care. More precisely, $C(G, E)$ comes with a filtration by the cocycle degree

$$F_p C(G; E)^n = \bigoplus_{k+l=n, k \geq p} C^k(G; E^l),$$

and one has to replace $C(G, E)$ by its completion with respect to this filtration, denote it $\bar{C}(G, E)$. This is just

$$\bar{C}(G, E)^n = \prod_{k+l=n} C^k(G; E^l),$$

whose elements should be thought of as infinite sums $\sum_{k \geq 0} \eta_k$ (with the index indicating the cocycle degree). Note that $\bar{C}(G, E)$ is itself a right $C(G)$ -module and it inherits a filtration F_p (similar to the previous one, but using products instead of sums). With these, one has to replace $C(G, E)$ by $\bar{C}(G, E)$ in Definition 3.1 and require all the operators to be continuous.

Remark 3.8. (The derived category) Still with the mind at $\text{Rep}^\infty(G)$ as being an invariant of the groupoid, it is natural (and necessary- see e.g. our comments below on tensor products) to consider the richer structure hidden behind the category $\mathcal{R}\text{ep}^\infty(G)$. For instance, making use of our DG approach to representations up to homotopy, $\mathcal{R}\text{ep}^\infty(G)$ can immediately be made into a DG category $\underline{\mathcal{R}\text{ep}}^\infty(G)$. Let Hom denote the hom-spaces in $\text{Rep}^\infty(G)$. Then $\underline{\mathcal{R}\text{ep}}^\infty(G)$ has the same objects as $\mathcal{R}\text{ep}^\infty(G)$, but new graded hom-spaces:

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}^\bullet(E, F) = \bigoplus_l \underline{\text{Hom}}^l(E, F),$$

where $\underline{\text{Hom}}^l(E, F)$ consists of $C^\bullet(G)$ -linear maps which rise the total degree by l :

$$\Phi : C(G, E)^\bullet \longrightarrow C(G, F)^{\bullet+l}.$$

That this is a DG category is just a reflection of the fact that the graded hom-spaces $\underline{\text{Hom}}^\bullet$ are cochain complexes, and the fact that the composition is defined at this level. Here, the differential D on $\underline{\text{Hom}}^\bullet(E, F)$ is induced from the structure operators of E and F by

$$D(\Phi) = D_F \circ \Phi - (-1)^{|\Phi|} \Phi \circ D_E.$$

The strict category associated to a DG category has the same objects, but as hom-sets the space $Z^0 \underline{\text{Hom}}^\bullet$ of elements in $\underline{\text{Hom}}^0$ which are closed (with respect to D). In our case we get

$$Z^0 \underline{\text{Hom}}(E, F) = \text{Hom}(E, F),$$

i.e. our category $\mathcal{R}\text{ep}^\infty(G)$.

By considering $\underline{\mathcal{R}\text{ep}}^\infty(G)$ instead of $\mathcal{R}\text{ep}^\infty(G)$, we offer ourselves a slightly more general point of view which allows us to talk about homotopies between morphisms, functors “up to homotopy”, the associated homotopy category, etc. For instance, for two representations up to homotopy E and F , the set of homotopy classes of maps from E to F is defined by

$$[E, F] := H^0(\underline{\text{Hom}}^\bullet(E, F)) = \text{Hom}(E, F) / \sim$$

where $\sim$ is the homotopy relation: two morphisms Φ and Ψ are homotopic if there exists a $C(G)$ -linear map $H : C(G, E) \longrightarrow C(G, E)$ lowering the total degree by 1, such that $\Phi - \Psi = D_F H + H D_E$.

Definition 3.9. We define the derived category of G , denoted $\text{Der}(G)$ with objects are the representations up to homotopy of G and with hom-sets the $[E, F]$'s defined above.

Let us mention here that, from an algebraic point of view, our notion of representation up to homotopy is related to the standard DG and derived categories of (DG) modules over a (DG) algebra. What happens in our case is that, if we replace $C^\bullet(G)$ by an arbitrary DGA $(A, \star, \delta)$, we are not interested in all DG modules, but only those which are, as graded right A -modules, those of type $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{E} \otimes_{A^0} A$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a graded A^0 -module which is finitely generated projective in each degree. This explains why we define the hom's in the derived category using homotopy classes of maps- which is possible in general only for cofibrant objects; see [11, 13] and also our Proposition 3.28 below. Of course, one could consider general DG modules over $C(G)$, but in such an algebraic approach we loose the geometric content of the situation, we believe, and we certainly loose some of the important results (e.g. the vanishing theorem of subsection 3.5).

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 3.2. The main observation is that the space $C(G, E)$ is generated as a $C^\bullet(G)$ -module by $\Gamma(M, E)$ - hence, from the Leibniz rule for D (or the $C(G)$ -linearity of Φ), the maps are determined by what they do on $\Gamma(E)$. The rest is just computations which could be done directly once the correspondence is made explicit. Instead, we will discuss some of the structure underlying the computations, which makes them much more transparent.

First of all, we point out that for any graded vector bundle E over M , $C_G(\text{End}(E))$ is actually a bigraded algebra which can be identified with the endomorphism algebra of the (right!) $C(G)$ -module $C(G; E)$. First, for $T \in C_G^k(\text{End}^l(E))$ and $T' \in C_G^{k'}(\text{End}^{l'}(E))$, $T \star T'$ is defined by

$$(T \star T')(g_1, \dots, g_{k+k'}) = (-1)^{k(k'+l')} T(g_1, \dots, g_k) \circ T'(g_{k+1}, \dots, g_{k+k'}). \quad (12)$$

Also, any $T \in C_G^k(\text{End}^l(E))$ acts on $\eta \in C^{k'}(G; E^{l'})$ by a similar formula:

$$(T \star \eta)(g_1, \dots, g_{k+k'}) = (-1)^{k(k'+l')} T(g_1, \dots, g_k) (\eta(g_{k+1}, \dots, g_{k+k'})).$$

It is now straightforward to check that, indeed, $C_G(\text{End}(E))$ can be identified with the endomorphism algebra of the $C(G; E)$.

Lemma 3.10. The correspondence which associates to $T \in C_G(\text{End}(E))$ the left multiplication by T ,

$$L_T : C(G; E) \rightarrow C(G; E), \quad \eta \mapsto T \star \eta,$$

defines a 1-1 correspondence between

1. elements $T \in C_G^k(\text{End}^l(E))$.
2. operators on $C(G; E)$ which rise the bigrading by (k, l) and which are $C(G)$ -linear.

Similarly, $C_G(\text{Hom}(E, F))$ is identified by the space of $C(G)$ -linear maps from $C(G; E)$ to $C(G; F)$, for any two graded vector bundles E and F . The resulting pairings

$$C_G(\text{End}(F)) \times C_G(\text{Hom}(E, F)) \longrightarrow C_G(\text{Hom}(E, F)), \quad (13)$$

$$C_G(\text{Hom}(E, F)) \times C_G(\text{End}(F)) \longrightarrow C_G(\text{Hom}(E, F)). \quad (14)$$

are still denoted by $*$ and are given by formulas similar to (12).

Next, we point out a simple variation of the notion of (quasi-)actions on graded vector bundles. A quasi-action λ on the graded vector bundle E is said to be graded if all the

operations λ_g preserve the degree. Any such λ defines an operator $\hat{D}_\lambda$ rising the bidegree by $(1, 0)$ (hence the total degree by 1): for $\eta \in C^k(G; E^l)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{D}_\lambda(\eta)(g_1, \dots, g_{k+1}) &= (-1)^{k+l} \{ \lambda_{g_1} \eta(g_2, \dots, g_{k+1}) + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \eta(g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_{k+1}) + (-1)^{k+1} \eta(g_1, \dots, g_k) \}. \end{aligned}$$

The sign is chosen so that the operator $\hat{D}_\lambda$ is a derivation of the (right) $C(G)$ -module $C(G; E)$ with respect to the total degree. The graded version of Lemma 2.6 follows now easily:

Lemma 3.11. *The construction $\lambda \mapsto \hat{D}_\lambda$ is a 1-1 correspondence between graded quasi-actions λ of G on E and degree 1 operators $\hat{D}_\lambda$ on $C^\bullet(G; E)$ satisfying the Leibniz identity*

$$\hat{D}_\lambda(\eta \star f) = \hat{D}_\lambda(\eta) \star f + (-1)^{|\eta|} \eta \star d(f),$$

for $\eta \in C(G; E)$, $f \in C(G)$ (recall that $|\eta|$ is the total degree).

Note that, in terms of the endomorphism algebra, a graded quasi-action is just an element of bidegree $(1, 0)$

$$\lambda \in C^1(G, \text{End}^0(E)),$$

and the associated operator is just

$$\hat{D}_\lambda = L_\lambda + \hat{D}_0,$$

where L_λ is multiplication by λ and $\hat{D}_0$ is the operator corresponding to $\lambda = 0$. Next, note that the operator $\hat{D}_0$ induces an operator on $C_G(\text{End}(E))$: for $T \in C_G^k(\text{End}^l(E))$, $\hat{D}_0(T)$ has bidegree $(k+1, l)$ and it is given by

$$\hat{D}_0(T)(g_1, \dots, g_{k+1}) = (-1)^{k+l} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \eta(g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_{k+1}).$$

The fact that this is induced by the operator $\hat{D}_0$ on $C(G; E)$ is explained by the following straightforward lemma.

Lemma 3.12. *For any $T \in C_G(\text{End}(E))$ and any $\eta \in C(G; E)$,*

$$\hat{D}_0(T \star \eta) = \hat{D}_0(T) \star \eta + (-1)^{|T|} T \star \hat{D}_0(\eta).$$

Note that, for any two graded vector bundles E and F , there is a similar operator $\hat{D}_0$ on $C_G(\text{Hom}(E, F))$ which satisfies the previous equations for all $T \in C_G(\text{Hom}(E, F))$ and $\eta \in C(G; E)$. Similarly, the same equations are satisfied with respect to the pairings (13).

We now go back to Proposition 3.2. We start with the first part. Once we observe that $C(G; E)$ is generated as a $C^\bullet(G)$ -module by $\Gamma(M, E)$, the Leibniz identity for D implies that D decomposes as a sum

$$D = D_0 + D_1 + D_2 + \dots, \tag{15}$$

where:

- For each $i \geq 0$, D_i comes is an operator which “rises” the bidegree by $(i, 1-i)$.
- For $i \neq 1$, D_i is $C(G)$ -linear, hence it comes from left multiplication by an element $R_i \in C_G^i(\text{End}^{1-i}(E))$.
- For $i = 1$, D_1 is a derivation, hence it comes from a graded quasi-action λ , also denoted R_1 .

In terms of the R_i 's, we have

$$D(\eta) = \sum_{k \geq 0} R_k \star \eta + \hat{D}_0(\eta).$$

The condition $D^2 = 0$ can now be easily written out using the last lemma and it becomes

$$\sum_{j,i} R_j \star R_i + \sum_i \hat{D}_0(R_i) = 0.$$

Looking at homogeneous degrees, the equation above becomes the set of equations

$$\sum_{i+j=k} R_j \star R_i + \hat{D}_0(R_{k-1}) = 0,$$

which are precisely the equations in the statement. The second part of the proposition is similar. We obtain that Φ decomposes as

$$\Phi(\eta) = \Phi_0 \star \eta + \Phi_1 \star \eta + \Phi_2 \star \eta + \dots,$$

where $\Phi_k \in C_G^k(\text{Hom}^{-k}(E, E'))$. The fact that Φ commutes with the structure operators translates into:

$$\sum_{i,j} R'_j \star \Phi_i + \sum_j \hat{D}_0(\Phi_j) = \sum_{i,j} \Phi_j \star R_i.$$

Looking at homogeneous components, that means that for all k one has

$$\sum_{i+j=k} R'_j \star \Phi_i + \hat{D}_0(\Phi_{k-1}) = \sum_{i+j=k} \Phi_j \star R_i.$$

Writing out $\star$ and $\hat{D}_0$, we obtain the equations from the second part of the proposition.

3.2 Main example: the adjoint representation

The aim of this subsection is to show that, associated to any Lie groupoid G , there is an “adjoint representation” which is a representation up to homotopy, well-defined up to isomorphism. Again, this can be seen as a global version of the adjoint representation of Lie algebroids. We refer the reader to [1] for more explanations on the need of graded vector bundles and on the choice of the underlying cochain complex (see Example 2.6. therein).

We start with the underlying complex.

Definition 3.13. *Given a Lie groupoid G over M with Lie algebroid A , the adjoint complex of G denoted $\text{Ad}(G)$ is the complex of vector bundles*

$$\text{Ad}(G) := A \xrightarrow{\rho} TM,$$

where A has degree zero, TM has degree one and ρ is the anchor map. We will write Ad instead of $\text{Ad}(G)$ when the groupoid is clear from the context.

Next, to make $\text{Ad}(G)$ into a representation up to homotopy, we first have to choose an Ehresmann connection σ on G . As in our preliminary subsection on connections, we consider the induced quasi-actions λ on A and on TM and its basic curvature K_σ^{bas} . The equations that they satisfy (Proposition 2.15) can now be interpreted as the structure equations of Proposition 3.2. Using the language of representations up to homotopy, it is now easy to see that Proposition 2.15 translates into the following.

Proposition 3.14. *Let G be a Lie groupoid and σ a connection on G . Then: the operators*

$$R_0 = \rho, \quad R_1 = \lambda, \quad R_2 = K_\sigma^{\text{bas}},$$

give the adjoint complex $\text{Ad}(G)$ the structure of a unital representation up to homotopy.

Proof. In view of Proposition 3.2, in order to prove the first statement we have to check a set of equations on the structure operators. It is easy to see that these equations are precisely the ones that were proved to hold in Proposition 2.15. $\square$

Definition 3.15. *Given a connection σ on G , we denote by $\text{Ad}_\sigma(G) \in \text{Rep}^\infty(G)$ the resulting representation up to homotopy of G .*

Next, we point out that, up to isomorphisms, i.e. for the element induced in $\text{Rep}^\infty(G)$, the choice of the connection is irrelevant.

Proposition 3.16. *If σ and σ' are two connections on G , then the representations up to homotopy $\text{Ad}_\sigma(G)$ and $\text{Ad}_{\sigma'}(G)$ are canonically isomorphic.*

Proof. We drop G from the notation. We will construct an isomorphism Ψ from Ad_σ to $\text{Ad}_{\sigma'}$. The bundle map is given by:

$$\Psi_0(v) = (-1)^{|v|}v.$$

there is also $\Psi_1 \in C_G^1(\text{End}^{-1}(\text{Ad}))$, defined by:

$$(\Psi_1)_g(X) = \sigma_g(X) - \sigma'_g(X).$$

In order to show that this is a map of representations we need to prove the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_1)_g \circ \rho - \Psi_0 \circ \lambda_g^\sigma &= \rho \circ (\Psi_1)_g - \lambda_g^{\sigma'} \circ \Psi_0, \\ (\Psi_1)_g \circ \lambda_h^\sigma - \Psi_0 \circ K_\sigma^{\text{bas}}(g, h) &= K_{\sigma'}^{\text{bas}}(g, h) \circ \Psi_0 - \lambda_g^{\sigma'} \circ (\Psi_1)_h + (\Psi_1)_{gh}, \end{aligned}$$

which follow from computations similar to those in the proof of Proposition 2.15. It is clear that Ψ is an isomorphism with inverse given by $\Psi_0 - \Psi_1$. $\square$

Definition 3.17. *We denote by*

$$\text{Ad}_G \in \text{Rep}^\infty(G)$$

the isomorphism class of the representations up to homotopy $\text{Ad}_\sigma(G)$.

3.3 Operations

In this subsection we discuss some of the basic operations on representations up to homotopy. The full discussion of symmetric powers (and of tensor products) is more involved and it is deferred to [2]; here we only give a brief outline. Also, since symmetric powers appear in the construction of the Bott spectral sequence, we introduce axiomatically the notion of q -th symmetric power operation.

Example 3.18. (pull-back's) The category of representations up to homotopy behaves in a natural way with respect to groupoid morphisms: any morphism of Lie groupoids $\varphi : H \rightarrow G$ induces a functor

$$\varphi^* : \text{Rep}^\infty(G) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}^\infty(H). \quad (16)$$

At the level of objects, for a representation up homotopy $E = (E, R_0, R_1, \dots)$ of G , $\varphi^*(E)$ has its structure determined by

$$\varphi^*(R_k)(h_1, \dots, h_k) = R_k(\varphi(h_1), \dots, \varphi(h_k)).$$

The categories of ordinary representations of Morita equivalent groupoids are equivalent, and therefore, this category is an invariant of the associated stack. We expect that there is a similar invariance result for the category of representations up to homotopy -at least at the level of the derived category.

Example 3.19. (duals) Let G be a groupoid and $E = (E, R_0, R_1, \dots)$ a representation up to homotopy of G . Then the dual of E is $E^* = (E^*, R_0^*, R_1^*, \dots)$, where

$$R_k^*(g_1, \dots, g_k) = (-1)^{k+1} (R_k(g_k^{-1}, \dots, g_1^{-1}))^*,$$

is a new representation up to homotopy of G . We would like to warn the reader that, with the notation $R_0 = \partial$, the cochain complex underlying E^* has $R_0^* = -\partial^*$ which is not the standard dual of the cochain complex (E, ∂) (which has as boundary $(-1)^n \partial^*$ on E_n^*).

Example 3.20. (coadjoint representation) Applying the previous construction to the adjoint representation, we obtain the coadjoint representation of G , again well-defined up to isomorphism, with a representative Ad_σ^* for each Ehresmann connection σ on G .

Example 3.21. (mapping cones) The construction of mapping cones [20] applies also to our situation. Recall first, in the case of complexes (of vector bundles, say), the mapping cone of a map of complexes $\Phi_0 : (E, \partial) \longrightarrow (F, \partial)$ is a new complex of vector bundles, $C(\Phi_0)$, with

$$C(\Phi_0)^n = E^n \oplus F^{n-1}, \quad \partial(e, f) = (\partial(e), \Phi(e) - \partial(f)).$$

Similarly, given a morphism $\Phi : E \longrightarrow F$ in $\mathcal{R}\text{ep}^\infty(G)$, one can construct the mapping cone $C(\Phi) \in \mathcal{R}\text{ep}^\infty(G)$, whose underlying complex is the mapping cone of Φ_0 ; the structure operators are

$$R_k(g_1, \dots, g_k)(e, f) = (R_k(g_1, \dots, g_k)e, \Phi_k(g_1, \dots, g_k)e - (-1)^k R_k(g_1, \dots, g_k)f).$$

Actually, as a DG $C(G)$ -module, $C(\Phi)$ is just the mapping complex of the map $\Phi : C(G; E) \longrightarrow C(G; F)$.

Example 3.22. (tensor products) Given $E, E' \in \mathcal{R}\text{ep}^\infty(G)$, defining their tensor product $E \otimes E' \in \mathcal{R}\text{ep}^\infty(G)$ is more subtle. Denoting the structure elements $\{R_k : k \geq 0\}$ for E and $\{R'_k : k \geq 0\}$ for E' , the similar operators for $E \otimes E'$ are clear in small degrees:

1. The degree zero element should be $R_0 \otimes \text{Id} + \text{Id} \otimes R'_0$ (so that the underlying complex is the tensor product of the underlying complexes of E and E').
2. The degree one term, i.e. the quasi-action, should be the diagonal quasi-action.

However, for higher k 's, the R_k is more subtle, is of combinatorial nature and is the subject of [2]. Here is a short outline. First, the possible operations associated to representations up to homotopy are encoded graphically by trees. The construction of the tensor product depends on an universal choice (i.e. not depending on G)- a formal sum ω involving trees which satisfy a certain universal Maurer-Cartan equation (ω is called an universal Maurer-Cartan element). The resulting tensor product operation $E \otimes_\omega F$ does not depend on the choice of ω , up to isomorphisms of representations up to homotopy. Morphisms are treated similarly. However, the resulting “functor”

$$\cdot \otimes \cdot : \mathcal{R}\text{ep}^\infty(G) \times \mathcal{R}\text{ep}^\infty(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}\text{ep}^\infty(G)$$

is a functor only up to homotopy (i.e. it respects the composition of morphisms only up to homotopy). Of course, this fits into the discussion of Remark 3.8, with the conclusion that $\otimes$ should be seen as an ∞ -functor at the level of the DG categories $\underline{\mathcal{R}\text{ep}}^\infty$.

Example 3.23. (symmetric powers) A similar discussion applies to symmetric powers $\mathcal{S}^q$ of representations up to homotopy (q non-negative integer), which we need here in order to discuss Bott spectral sequences. We refer again to [2] for the general discussion of these operations (existence and uniqueness). For the purpose of Bott spectral sequences, we only need to know what $\mathcal{S}^q$ does on representations up to homotopy (and not on general morphisms) and its basic properties. This allows us to work with an axiomatic description, with a set of axioms which are much weaker than the properties satisfied by the constructions

of [2]. This axiomatic approach has the advantage that in specific situations, one can use simple versions of the symmetric power functor.

In short, one would like to have a “natural extension” of the the usual symmetric power operations

$$S^q : \text{Ch}(M) \longrightarrow \text{Ch}(M)$$

defined on the category $\text{Ch}(M)$ of complexes of vector bundles over M (with maps of complexes as morphisms). To explain the axioms, we need the following definition.

Definition 3.24. *We say that a morphism $\zeta : E \longrightarrow F$ between two representations up to homotopy is strict if all the components ζ_k with $k \geq 1$ vanish.*

In other words, a strict morphism is just a map of complexes $\zeta : E \longrightarrow F$ (between the complexes underlying E and F) with the property that it is also a morphism of representations up to homotopy.

Definition 3.25. *Let q be a non-negative integer. A q -th symmetric power operation on representations up to homotopy is an operation S^q which associates to any $E \in \text{Rep}^\infty(G)$ a new $S^q(E) \in \text{Rep}^\infty(G)$, with the following properties:*

- (S1) *for any $E \in \text{Rep}^\infty(G)$, the complex underlying $S^q(E)$ is just the q -th symmetric power $S^q E$ of the complex underlying E .*
- (S2) *for any $E \in \text{Rep}^\infty(G)$, the quasi-action underlying $S^q(E)$ is the diagonal quasi-action on $S^q E$ induced by the quasi-action underlying E .*
- (S3) *for any strict morphism $\zeta : E \longrightarrow F$, the usual q -th symmetric power of the chain map ζ , $S^q \zeta : S^q E \longrightarrow S^q F$, is a strict morphism from $S^q E$ to $S^q F$.*
- (S4) *for any groupoid morphism $\varphi : G \longrightarrow H$, S^q commutes with the pull-back functor (16).*

The construction of [2] defines S^q also at the level of morphisms, with the following properties replacing (S3):

- (S3') *for any $\Phi : E \longrightarrow F$ in $\text{Rep}^\infty(G)$, the morphism of complexes underlying $S^q \Phi$ is the q -th symmetric power of the morphism of complexes underlying Φ .*
- (S3'') *S^q preserves strict morphisms.*

Again, as in the case of tensor products, the full outcome of [2] is best expressed in the language of DG categories (see Remark 3.8), when S^q becomes an ∞ -functor on the DG category $\underline{\text{Rep}}^\infty(G)$. To make S^q into a true functor, the most natural thing to do is to pass to the homotopy or derived category of G . Alternatively (but only for some purposes), one can stay as simple as possible, and pass to the subcategory of $\text{Rep}^\infty(G)$ with the same objects but only strict morphisms allowed- and that is what the previous definition does.

3.4 Cohomology and the derived category

In this subsection we discuss the (differentiable) cohomology with coefficients in representations up to homotopy and quasi-isomorphisms. First of all, our definition of representations up to homotopy clearly comes with an associated cohomology theory.

Definition 3.26. *Given a representation up to homotopy E of G , with structure operator D , we define the differentiable cohomology of G with coefficients in E , denoted $H_{\text{diff}}^\bullet(M; E)$, as the cohomology of the complex $(C(G; E), D)$.*

When it comes to cohomology (but not only then), the relevant notion of equivalence is the following.

Definition 3.27. *A morphism $\Phi : E \longrightarrow E'$ between two representations up to homotopy of a groupoid G is called a quasi-isomorphism if the map of vector bundles Φ_0 induces isomorphisms in cohomology at every point.*

Following the standard strategy (see e.g. [11, 13]), the derived category of G , $\mathcal{D}\text{er}(G)$ should be defined at this point, as the category obtained from $\text{Rep}^\infty(G)$ by formally inverting quasi-isomorphisms. What happens is that, since our objects have a cofibrant behavior (see also our Remark 3.8), in our setting quasi-isomorphisms are the same thing as homotopy equivalences, i.e. Φ 's which become invertible when passing to our derived category $\mathcal{D}\text{er}(G)$ (Definition 3.9).

Instead of making a reference to the various (rather complicated) descriptions of cofibrant DG modules, let us sketch a self-contained proof of the following:

Proposition 3.28. *Any quasi-isomorphism $\Phi : E \longrightarrow F$ between two representations up to homotopy is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. First two observations:

- If (E, ∂) is a complex of vector bundles which is acyclic (the cohomology bundle is trivial), then it is contractible: we find $h : E^\bullet \longrightarrow E^{\bullet-1}$ such that $h\partial + \partial h + \text{Id} = 0$. Moreover, one can choose h such that $h^2 = 0$. This is a standard result. One can e.g. choose a metric on E , consider the resulting adjoint ∂^* of ∂ and then choose $h = \Delta^{-1}\partial^*$, where $\Delta = \partial\partial^* + \partial^*\partial$.
- 2: If (E, D) is a representation up to homotopy whose underlying complex (E, ∂) is acyclic, then it is contractible, i.e. one can find $H \in \underline{\text{Hom}}^{-1}(E, E)$ such that $HD + DH + \text{Id} = 0$. To see this, consider $\delta_D = D - \partial$ acting on $C(G; E)$ and put

$$H := h(1 + (\delta_D h) + (\delta_D h)^2 + \dots) : C(G; E) \longrightarrow C(G; E).$$

When applied to each element, the sum is finite hence it makes sense (it is just the inverse of $(1 - \delta_D h)$). A formal computation shows that H satisfies the required equation. The fact that $h^2 = 0$ implies that H is $C(G)$ -linear.

If $\Phi : E \longrightarrow F$ is a quasi-isomorphism between representations up to homotopy, we first pass to the mapping cone $C(\Phi)$ (see Example 3.21). Its underlying complex of vector bundles, $C(\Phi_0)$, is acyclic because Φ_0 is a quasi-isomorphism. From the first observation, it is contractible. From the second observation, $C(\Phi)$ is contractible. Writing the resulting operator H in a matrix form

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & \bullet \\ \Psi & h_2 \end{pmatrix} : C(G; E) \oplus C(G; F) \longrightarrow C(G; E) \oplus C(G; F),$$

all the entries are $C(G)$ -linear. Writing out the contracting homotopy equation for H , we find that h_1 provides a homotopy between $\Psi\Phi$ and the identity, and similarly h_2 for $\Phi\Psi$. This shows that, at the level of the derived category, Φ is invertible with inverse Φ . $\square$

Remark 3.29. As most of our results, the last proposition also holds if we allow unbounded complexes (see Remark 3.7). Note for instance that the sum above defining H still makes sense due to the fact that we use completions and all the operators are continuous.

The next result shows that the differentiable cohomology functor descends to the derived category. Given a representation $E \in \text{Rep}^\infty(G)$, there is a decreasing filtration on the complex $C(G; E)$:

$$\dots \subseteq L^2(C(G; E)) \subseteq L^1(C(G; E)) \subseteq L^0(C(G; E)) = C(G; E), \quad (17)$$

where

$$L^k(C(G; E)) = C^k(G; E) \oplus C^{k+1}(G; E) \oplus C^{k+2}(G; E) \oplus \dots$$

This filtration gives a spectral sequence $\mathcal{E}_r^{p,q}(E)$ converging to $H_{\text{diff}}^{p+q}(G; E)$.

Proposition 3.30. *If $\Phi : E \longrightarrow E'$ is a quasi-isomorphism between two representations up to homotopy of G , then the map induced in cohomology*

$$\Phi : H_{\text{diff}}(G; E) \rightarrow H_{\text{diff}}(G; E'),$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Φ induces a map of spectral sequences $\Phi : (\mathcal{E}_r^{p,q}(E), d_r^{p,q}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_r^{p,q}(E'), d_r^{p,q})$ which is an isomorphism for $r = 1$. The result follows from the comparison theorem of spectral sequences [20]. $\square$

The spectral sequence simplifies when the representations are regular in the following sense:

Definition 3.31. *A complex of vector bundles (E, ∂) is called regular if ∂ has constant rank. In this case we denote by $\mathcal{H}^\bullet(E)$ the cohomology of (E, ∂) , which is a graded vector bundle due to the constant rank condition.*

Theorem 3.32. *Let E be a unital representation up to homotopy of a Lie groupoid G whose underlying complex is regular. Then each cohomology vector bundle $\mathcal{H}^\bullet(E)$ has the structure of ordinary representation of G with the action defined by*

$$\lambda_g(\overline{v}) := \overline{\lambda_g(v)},$$

and there is a spectral sequence:

$$\mathcal{E}_2^{p,q}(E) \cong H_{\text{diff}}^p(G; \mathcal{H}^q(E)) \Rightarrow H_{\text{diff}}^{p+q}(G; E).$$

Moreover, the action on the $\mathcal{H}^\bullet(E)$'s can be extended to a representation up to homotopy structure in the cohomology complex $\mathcal{H}(E)$, with zero differential, for which there is a quasi-isomorphism:

$$\Phi : C(G; E) \rightarrow C(G; \mathcal{H}(E)).$$

Proof. The fact that the formula $\lambda_g(\overline{v}) = \overline{\lambda_g(v)}$ defines a representation structure on $\mathcal{H}^q(E)$ follows from the structure equations (10) and the fact that the representation is unital. On the other hand, one easily shows that $\mathcal{E}_1^{p,q}(E) \cong C^p(G, \mathcal{H}^q(E))$ and that the differential $d_1^{p,q}$ is the one given by the representation structure. The proof of the last statement uses homological perturbation theory and can be copied word by word from the that of the infinitesimal version, which can be found in Section 3.3 of [1]. See also Kadeishvili's paper [12]. $\square$

Remark 3.33. The last theorem shows that, at the level of the derived category, the regular representations up to homotopy can be replaced by new ones with zero-differential. The last ones correspond to graded ordinary representations together with certain structure cocycles $\{R_k : k \geq 2\}$ (true cocycles!). Hence one may think that the passing from ordinary representations to $\mathcal{R}\text{ep}^\infty(G)$ is about:

- allowing graded objects together with such cocycles.

However, we would like to point out that this is only one of the features of $\mathcal{R}\text{ep}^\infty(G)$; the most important one is different:

- allowing “non-regular representations”.

Morally, these hide “singular representations” (in the sense that the associated cohomology bundles are no longer vector bundles). Probably the adjoint representation is the best examples in which both features can be seen: it is non-regular in general and, even when it is regular, the 2-cocycles involved (the basic curvature) may be non-trivial. The infinitesimal version of this remarks appears in [1] (see e.g. Example 2.6 therein) and shows that the full structure of representations up to homotopy is needed in order to make sense of the adjoint representation).

3.5 The vanishing theorem

One of the main properties of differentiable cohomology with coefficients in ordinary representations is the fact that, for proper groupoids (the generalization of compactness when going from groups to groupoids), it vanishes in all positive degrees. This result turns out to be very useful when dealing with deformations or rigidity phenomena (see e.g. [21, 8] for such applications). With such applications in mind, one can try to generalize this result to general representations up to homotopy. This is interesting also from the point of view of Bott's spectral sequence: it is precisely this kind of phenomenon that allows one to obtain the Cartan model from that of Getzler.

Recall first that a Lie groupoid G over M is called proper if the map

$$(s, t) : G \rightarrow M \times M$$

is proper. The vanishing theorem for ordinary representations [7] says that, if E is an ordinary representation of a proper groupoid, then $H_{\text{diff}}^q(G, E) = 0$ for all $q \neq 0$. To get an idea of what vanishing result to expect for representations up to homotopy, we first point out what happens in the regular case. In this case, one can apply directly the vanishing for ordinary representations (combined with the spectral sequence of the previous subsection).

Corollary 3.34. *If $E = \bigoplus_{l=a}^b E^l$ is a regular unital representation up to homotopy of a proper Lie groupoid G , then*

$$H_{\text{diff}}^q(G; E) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{H}^q(E))_{\text{inv}}.$$

In particular, $H_{\text{diff}}^q(G; E) = 0$ if $q \notin [a, b]$.

Proof. Since E is regular, we know that each $\mathcal{H}^q(E)$ is an ordinary representation of G and the spectral sequence associated to E has $\mathcal{E}_2^{p,q} \cong H_{\text{diff}}^p(G; \mathcal{H}^q(E))$. Since the groupoid is proper, Proposition 1 of [7] implies that $H_{\text{diff}}^p(G; \mathcal{H}^q(E)) \cong H_{\text{diff}}^0(G; \mathcal{H}^q(E)) = \Gamma(\mathcal{H}^q(E))_{\text{inv}}$. We conclude that the spectral sequence degenerates at the second page. $\square$

The aim of this section is to prove a similar vanishing result for all representations up to homotopy.

Theorem 3.35. *Let $E = \bigoplus_{l=a}^b E^l$ be a unital representation up to homotopy of a proper Lie groupoid G . Then:*

$$H_{\text{diff}}^q(G; E) = 0, \text{ if } q \notin [a, b].$$

Proof. Clearly, we can assume that $a = 0$ and we only need to prove that the cohomology vanishes above degree b . It suffices to show that, in the spectral sequence induced by the filtration (17), the term $\mathcal{E}_2^{p,q}$ is zero if either $q > b$ or $p > 0$. In case $q > b$ already the term $\mathcal{E}_0^{p,q} = 0$, therefore it only remains to show the second statement. Let us first consider the terms $\mathcal{E}_1^{p,q}$, which are the quotient spaces $Z^{p,q}/B^{p,q}$ where:

$$Z^{p,q} := \{\eta \in C^p(G; E^q) : D_0(\eta) = 0\},$$

and

$$B^{p,q} := \{\eta \in C^p(G; E^q) : \eta = D_0(\gamma) \text{ for some } \gamma \in C^p(G; E^{q-1})\}.$$

The operator

$$d_1^{p,q} : \mathcal{E}_1^{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1^{p+1,q}$$

is given by:

$$d_1^{p,q}(\bar{\eta}) = \overline{D_1(\eta)}.$$

In order to prove that the cohomology of $d_1^{p,q}$ vanishes for positive values of p we will need to choose a Haar system on G . Namely, a family $\mu = \{\mu^x : x \in M\}$ of smooth measures μ^x supported on the manifold $t^{-1}(x)$, such that:

1. For any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, the formula

$$I_\mu(f)(x) = \int_{t^{-1}(x)} f(g) d\mu^x(g)$$

defines a smooth function $I_\mu(f)$ on M .

2. The family μ is left invariant. Explicitly, given an arrow $G \ni g : x \rightarrow y$ and a function $f \in C_c^\infty(t^{-1}(y))$, one has

$$\int_{t^{-1}(x)} f(gh) d\mu^x(h) = \int_{t^{-1}(y)} f(h) d\mu^y(h).$$

It is well-known that Lie groupoids always admit Haar systems, see e.g. [19]. Now, the properness assumption implies the existence of a *cut-off* function $c \in C^\infty(M)$ for the Haar system μ . This is a smooth function on M satisfying:

1. $t : \text{supp}(c \circ s) \rightarrow M$ is a proper map.
2. $\int_{t^{-1}(x)} c(s(g)) d\mu^x(g) = 1$.

The construction of *cut-off* functions on proper groupoids can be found in the appendix of [19]. Next, we use the Haar system and the function c to define the operator:

$$\kappa : C^{p+1}(G; E^q) \rightarrow C^p(G; E^q)$$

given by:

$$\kappa(\eta)(g_1, \dots, g_p) = \int_{t^{-1}(x)} \lambda_g \eta(g^{-1}, g_1, \dots, g_p) c(s(g)) d\mu^x(g),$$

where $x = t(g_1)$ and λ is the quasi-action associated to E . For $p > 0$, take an element $\bar{\eta} \in \mathcal{E}_1^{p,q}$ such that $d_1^{p,q}(\bar{\eta}) = 0$. We claim that $\bar{\eta} = d_1^{p-1,q} \overline{\kappa(\eta)}$ and therefore $\mathcal{E}_2^{p,q} = 0$. In the following computation we will omit the super-index in $d_1^{p,q}$ and also the over-lining when denoting an class in $\mathcal{E}_1^{p,q}$. However, it is important that the computation takes place in these quotient spaces.

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa d_1(\eta)(g_1, \dots, g_p) &= \int_{t^{-1}(x)} \lambda_g \{ \lambda_{g^{-1}} \eta(g_1, \dots, g_p) - \eta(g^{-1} g_1, \dots, g_p) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^i \eta(g^{-1}, g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_p) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{p+1} \eta(g^{-1}, g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}) \} c(s(g)) d\mu^x(g). \end{aligned}$$

Using the associativity equation (10) for representation up to homotopy and the fact that E is a unital representation up to homotopy, we conclude that the expression above equals:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{t^{-1}(x)} \eta(g_1, \dots, g_p) c(s(g)) d\mu^x(g) + \int_{t^{-1}(x)} d_E R_2(g, g^{-1}) \eta(g_1, \dots, g_p) c(s(g)) d\mu^x(g) \\ &\quad + \int_{t^{-1}(x)} R_2(g, g^{-1}) d_E \eta(g_1, \dots, g_p) c(s(g)) d\mu^x(g) + \int_{t^{-1}(x)} \lambda_g \{ -\eta(g^{-1} g_1, \dots, g_p) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{i+1} \eta(g^{-1}, g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_p) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{p+1} \eta(g^{-1}, g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}) \} c(s(g)) d\mu^x(g). \end{aligned}$$

Since the computation takes place in $\mathcal{E}_1^{p,q}$, the second and third terms are zero. Also, by the normalization condition (2) on the function c , the first term equals $\eta(g_1, \dots, g_p)$. Using the

invariance of the Haar system, the expression becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \eta(g_1, \dots, g_p) - \int_{t^{-1}(y)} \lambda_{g_1 h} \eta(h^{-1}, g_2, \dots, g_p) c(s(h)) d\mu^y(h) \\
&\quad + \int_{t^{-1}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{i+1} \eta(g^{-1}, g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_p) c(s(g)) d\mu^x(g) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{p+1} \int_{t^{-1}(x)} \eta(g^{-1}, g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}) c(s(g)) d\mu^x(g).
\end{aligned}$$

Once again, we use the associativity equation (10) for representations up to homotopy and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \eta(g_1, \dots, g_p) - \int_{t^{-1}(y)} \lambda_{g_1} \circ \lambda_h \eta(h^{-1}, g_2, \dots, g_p) c(s(h)) d\mu^y(h) \\
&\quad + \int_{t^{-1}(y)} ((R_2(g_1, h) d_E + d_E R_2(g_1, h)) \eta(h^{-1}, g_2, \dots, g_p) c(s(h)) d\mu^y(h) \\
&\quad + \int_{t^{-1}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{i+1} \eta(g^{-1}, g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_p) c(s(g)) d\mu^x(g) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{p+1} \int_{t^{-1}(x)} \eta(g^{-1}, g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}) c(s(g)) d\mu^x(g).
\end{aligned}$$

The second line is zero in $\mathcal{E}_1^{p,q}$, and the sum above becomes:

$$= \eta(g_1, \dots, g_p) - d_1 \kappa(\eta)(g_1, \dots, g_p).$$

Since the whole expression equals $\kappa d_1(\eta)(g_1, \dots, g_p) = 0$, we conclude that $d_1 \kappa(\eta) = \eta$, and the proof is complete. $\square$

4 The formula of Bott for general Lie groupoids

4.1 The statements

The aim of this section is to prove a generalization of the formula of Bott and the resulting spectral sequence from Lie groups to Lie groupoids. To be able to talk about the symmetric powers of the coadjoint representation, as explained in Example 3.23, we will use the axiomatic approach to the symmetric powers (Definition 3.25). So, let $\mathcal{S}^q$ be a symmetric power operation on representations up to homotopy.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a Lie groupoid. Then, for the cohomology of the horizontal complex*

$$\Omega^q(G_0) \xrightarrow{d_h} \Omega^q(G_1) \xrightarrow{d_h} \dots \xrightarrow{d_h} \Omega^q(G_p) \xrightarrow{d_h} \dots,$$

one has

$$H_{d_h}^p(\Omega^q(G_\bullet)) \cong H_{\text{diff}}^{p-q}(G; \mathcal{S}^q \text{Ad}^*).$$

From the vanishing result of Theorem 3.35, we deduce:

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be a proper Lie groupoid. Then*

$$H_{d_h}^p(\Omega^q(G_\bullet)) = 0, \text{ if } p > q.$$

Also, from the spectral sequence associated to the Bott-Shulman complex discussed in the preliminaries, we immediately deduce:

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a Lie groupoid. There is a spectral sequence converging to the cohomology of BG :*

$$E_1^{pq} = H_{\text{diff}}^{p-q}(G; \mathcal{S}^q(\text{Ad}^*)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(BG).$$

Moreover, if the groupoid is proper, the E_1 terms of the spectral sequence vanish below the diagonal.

4.2 The proofs

We start by discussing connections on action groupoids. More precisely, we fix a Lie groupoid G over M and let A be its algebroid. We also fix an Ehresmann connection σ on G and we consider the explicit realization Ad_σ for the adjoint representation. To simplify notations, we will omit the subscript σ .

We consider the category Man_G of all G -spaces, i.e. manifolds P together with a submersion ν onto M and an action of G on $P \xrightarrow{\nu} M$. For any such P , we have the induced action groupoid and algebroid (see the preliminaries), denoted

$$G^P := G \ltimes P, \quad A^P := A \ltimes P.$$

We first point out that the connection σ induces connections σ_P on all the groupoids G_P . Indeed, for the tangent space of G^P , one has the following pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{(g,p)}G^P & \xrightarrow{d\text{pr}_1} & T_g G \\ ds \downarrow & & \downarrow ds \\ T_p P & \xrightarrow{d\nu} & T_{\nu(p)} M \end{array}$$

Hence, explicitly, writing

$$T_{(g,p)}G^P = \{(X_g, V_p) \in T_g G \times T_p P : (ds)_g(X_g) = (d\nu)_p(V_p)\},$$

we have

$$\sigma_{p,g}^P(V_p) = (\sigma_g(d\nu(V_p)), V_p).$$

Hence, for each P , we obtain an explicit realization Ad_{σ^P} of the adjoint representation of G^P . Again, we denote it simply by Ad_P or even by Ad when no confusion arises.

First we want to discuss the differentiable cohomology of the groupoids G_P with coefficients $\mathcal{S}^q \text{Ad}^*$ in the case where P is a free G -manifold. If G acts on $P \xrightarrow{\nu} M$, we say that P is a free G -manifold if the space of orbits $B := P/G$ is a smooth manifold with the projection $\pi : P \longrightarrow B$ smooth submersion and

$$G \times_M P \longrightarrow P \times_B P, \quad (g, p) \mapsto (p, gp)$$

is a diffeomorphism, where the left hand side is the fibered product over $s : G \longrightarrow M$ and $\nu : P \longrightarrow M$. One also says that $\pi : P \longrightarrow B$ is a principal G -bundle.

Proposition 4.4. *Let P be free G -manifold in the previous sense, with projection $\pi : P \longrightarrow B$. Then*

$$H_{\text{diff}}^p(G_P; \mathcal{S}^q \text{Ad}^*) = \begin{cases} \Omega^q(B) & \text{if } p = -q, \\ 0 & \text{if } p > -q. \end{cases}$$

More precisely, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^q(B) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} C(G_P; \mathcal{S}^q \text{Ad}^*)^{-q} \xrightarrow{D} C(G_P; \mathcal{S}^q \text{Ad}^*)^{1-q} \xrightarrow{D} \dots$$

is exact. Here, according to our notations, $\text{Ad}^ = \text{Ad}_P^*$ is the representation up to homotopy of G_P induced by the connection σ and D is the structure operator of $\mathcal{S}^q \text{Ad}^*$.*

One explanation for the start of this sequence: since the complex $\mathcal{S}^q \text{Ad}^*$ vanishes in degrees strictly less than $-q$ and is $\Lambda^q T^*P$ in degree $-q$,

$$C(G_P; \mathcal{S}^q \text{Ad}^*)^{-q} = \bigoplus_{k-l=-q} C^k(G_P; (\mathcal{S}^q \text{Ad}^*)^{-l}) = C^0(G_P; \Lambda^q T^*P) = \Omega^q(P),$$

and then π^* is just the pull-back of forms.

Proof. Since the action is free, we deduce that Ad_P is a regular complex (with cohomology bundle trivial in all degrees, except degree 1 where it is the normal bundle to the orbits $TP/\text{Im}(\tilde{\rho}_P) \cong \pi^*TB$). We deduce that the cochain complex $S^q(\text{Ad}_P^*)$ is a regular complex, with cohomology

$$\mathcal{H}^k(S^q(\text{Ad}^*(P))) \cong \begin{cases} \pi^*(\Lambda^q T^*B) & \text{if } k = -q, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq -q. \end{cases}$$

Hence Theorem 3.32 tells us that:

- There is an induced structure of representation up to homotopy structure D_H on the cohomology complex $\mathcal{H}^k(S^q(\text{Ad}^*(P)))$.
- There is a quasi-isomorphism Φ between $\mathcal{S}^q(\text{Ad}^*(P))$ and $(\mathcal{H}(S^q(\text{Ad}^*(P))), D_H)$.

In our case, since the cohomology complex is concentrated in degree $-q$:

$$\mathcal{H}(S^q(\text{Ad}^*(P))) \cong \pi^*(\Lambda^q T^*B)[-q],$$

all that D_H contains is the action of the groupoid G_P (the R_1 -term). On the other hand, due to the quasi-action axiom on $\mathcal{S}^q$, we see that this quasi-action is just the tautological one: the action of an arrow $g : p \longrightarrow gp$ on $\pi^*(\Lambda^q T^*B)$, which should be a map

$$\Lambda^q T_x^*B \longrightarrow \Lambda^q T_{gx}^*B, \quad x = \pi(p) = \pi(gp),$$

is just the identity. Since Φ is a quasi-isomorphism, we have

$$H_{\text{diff}}^p(G_P; \mathcal{S}^q \text{Ad}^*) \cong H_{\text{diff}}^p(G_P; \mathcal{H}(S^q \text{Ad}^*)) \cong H_{\text{diff}}^p(G_P; \pi^*(\Lambda^q(T^*B))[-q]).$$

Also, since P is proper, we know from Theorem 3.35 that the last cohomology vanishes except for degree $p = -q$, where it equals to

$$\Gamma(P, \pi^*(\Lambda^q(T^*B)))_{\text{inv}} = \Omega^q(B).$$

□

Next, we consider a morphisms in Man_G ,

$$f : Q \longrightarrow P.$$

Hence f commutes with the maps into M and it is G -equivariant. We show that f induces a strict morphism

$$f_* : \text{Ad}_Q \longrightarrow f^* \text{Ad}_P.$$

Being strict, it means it only has a 0-component, i.e. f_* will be a map between the underlying cochain complexes. With the identifications for the fibers

$$\text{Ad}_{Q,q} = A_{\nu(q)} \oplus TQ_q, \quad f^* \text{Ad}_{P,q} = A_{\nu(q)} \oplus T_{f(q)}P,$$

we define f_* to be $(\text{Id}, (df))$.

Lemma 4.5. $f_* : \text{Ad}_Q \longrightarrow f^* \text{Ad}_P$ is a strict morphism of representations up to homotopy of G^Q .

Proof. We observe that one can describe the structure operators for the adjoint representation of an action groupoid G^Q in terms of those of G . Given the connection σ on G there is an identification $T(G \ltimes Q)_{(g,q)} \cong A_{t(g)} \oplus TQ_q$. With respect to this identification we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{g,q}^{G^Q}(\alpha) &= \lambda_g^G(\alpha), \\ \lambda_{g,q}^{G^Q}(X) &= (d\mu)_{(g,q)}(\sigma_{(g,q)}^Q(X)), \\ R_2^{G^Q}((g,q), (h,l))X &= R_2^G(g,h)(d\nu)_l(X).\end{aligned}$$

Here $\alpha \in A_q^Q \cong A_{\nu(q)}$, $X \in TQ_q$, μ denotes the action map $G \ltimes P \rightarrow P$ and $\nu : Q \rightarrow M$ is the map associated to the G -space Q . Using this description one immediately shows that f_* gives a strict map of representations. $\square$

Passing to duals, and using the canonical morphism $C(G^P; E) \rightarrow C^*(G^Q; f^*E)$ for $E = (\text{Ad}_P)^* \in \text{Rep}^\infty(G^P)$, we find induced maps of complexes

$$f^* : C(G^P; \text{Ad}_P^*) \rightarrow C(G^Q; \text{Ad}_Q^*). \quad (18)$$

It is easy to see that this construction is functorial: if $P \xrightarrow{f} Q \xrightarrow{g} R$ are morphisms in Man_G , then $(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_*$.

Using the axioms for $\mathcal{S}^q$ on strict morphisms, we find that

$$f^* = \mathcal{S}^q(f^*) : C(G^P; \mathcal{S}^q(\text{Ad}_P^*)) \rightarrow C(G^Q; \mathcal{S}^q(\text{Ad}_Q^*)) \quad (19)$$

is a morphism of complexes.

We will apply this construction to the following standard “simplicial resolution” of M by free G -manifolds:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & P^{(2)} & \xrightarrow{\quad \flat_0 \quad} & P^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{\quad \flat_0 \quad} & P^{(0)} & \xrightarrow{\quad \flat_0 \quad} M \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad \flat_1 \quad} & & \xrightarrow{\quad \flat_1 \quad} & & & \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad \flat_2 \quad} & & & & & \end{array}$$

Here we use the G -spaces already mentioned in Example 2.2 of the preliminaries:

$$P^{(m)} = G_{m+1}, \quad \nu^{(m)} = t : P^{(m)} \rightarrow M, (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m+1}) \mapsto t(g_1)$$

and G acts on $P^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\nu^{(m)}} M$ by

$$g \cdot (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m+1}) = (gg_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m+1}).$$

We denote by $G^{(m)}$ the resulting action groupoid (a groupoid over $P^{(m)}$).

Also, $\flat_i$ are the G -equivariant maps

$$\flat_i = d_{i+1} : P^{(m)} \rightarrow P^{(m-1)} \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, m.$$

The discussion above gives us a sequence of complexes

$$C(G; \mathcal{S}^q \text{Ad}^*) \xrightarrow{\flat^*} C(G^{(0)}; \mathcal{S}^q \text{Ad}^*) \xrightarrow{\flat^*} C(G^{(1)}; \mathcal{S}^q \text{Ad}^*) \xrightarrow{\flat^*} \dots \quad (20)$$

where

$$\flat^* : C(G^{(m-1)}; \mathcal{S}^q \text{Ad}^*) \rightarrow C(G^{(m)}; \mathcal{S}^q \text{Ad}^*)$$

are defined by:

$$\flat^* = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \flat_i^*.$$

Proposition 4.6. *The sequence (20) is an exact sequence of complexes.*

Proof. The fact that $(\flat^*)^2 = 0$ follows from the simplicial relations. For the exactness, we would like to remark that it is a statement which does not involve the structure operators D . To prove it, we will produce a contracting homotopy; according to our remark, we do not have to care about compatibility with D . With that in mind, note that our general construction of the maps f_* and f^* at the level of complexes, for $f : Q \rightarrow P$ a map between two G -manifolds, do not really require f to be equivariant if we do not care about compatibility with the structure operators D . What is important is that f commutes with the projections ν into M . More precisely, for such an f , the f_* of Lemma 4.5 still makes sense as a morphisms of graded vector bundles, and then the map f^* appearing in (19) is still well-defined as a map of graded vector spaces. Also, the functoriality of the construction is still preserved. In our case, we consider

$$\sigma_0 : P^{(m-1)} \rightarrow P^{(m)}, (g_1, \dots, g_m) \mapsto (1, g_1, \dots, g_m)$$

and the induced maps

$$\sigma_0^* = S^q(\sigma_0^*) : C(G^{(m)}; S^q(\text{Ad}^*)) \rightarrow C(G^{(m-1)}; S^q(\text{Ad}^*)).$$

Using the last remark on functoriality, we immediately derive the following equations

$$\sigma_0^* \flat_i^* = \begin{cases} \text{Id} & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \flat_{i-1}^* \sigma_0^* & \text{if } i > 0. \end{cases}$$

By a standard computation, these imply that σ_0^* induces a homotopy operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0^* \flat^* + \flat^* \sigma_0^* &= \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \sigma_0^* \flat_i^* + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \flat_i^* \sigma_0^* \\ &= \text{Id} + \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i \flat_{i-1}^* \sigma_0^* + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \flat_i^* \sigma_0^* = \text{Id}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that sequence is exact. $\square$

The next step is to put together the previous two propositions. In doing so, we mention here that each of the spaces $P^{(m)}$ is a principal G -bundle over G_m with bundle map $\pi = d_0 : P^{(m)} \rightarrow G_m$. Hence we can organize the various exact sequences coming from the two propositions into a double complex with co-augmented rows and columns, one for each $q \geq 0$: rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & \uparrow D & & \uparrow D & & \uparrow D \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C(G; S^q \text{Ad}^*)^{2-q} & \xrightarrow{\flat_0^*} & C(G^{(0)}; S^q \text{Ad}^*)^{2-q} & \xrightarrow{\flat^*} & C(G^{(1)}; S^q \text{Ad}^*)^{2-q} \xrightarrow{\flat^*} \dots \\ & & \uparrow D & & \uparrow D & & \uparrow D \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C(G; S^q \text{Ad}^*)^{1-q} & \xrightarrow{\flat_0^*} & C(G^{(0)}; S^q \text{Ad}^*)^{1-q} & \xrightarrow{\flat^*} & C(G^{(1)}; S^q \text{Ad}^*)^{1-q} \xrightarrow{\flat^*} \dots \\ & & \uparrow D & & \uparrow D & & \uparrow D \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C(G; S^q \text{Ad}^*)^{-q} & \xrightarrow{\flat_0^*} & C(G^{(0)}; S^q \text{Ad}^*)^{-q} & \xrightarrow{\flat^*} & C(G^{(1)}; S^q \text{Ad}^*)^{-q} \xrightarrow{\flat^*} \dots \\ & & & & \uparrow d_0^* & & \uparrow d_0^* \\ & & & & \Omega^q(M) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \Omega^q(G) \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \\ & & & & \uparrow 0 & & \uparrow 0 \end{array}$$

Here the vertical operator D is the differential corresponding to the representations up to homotopy $\mathcal{S}^q\text{Ad}^*$ - as in Proposition 4.4 which we can now use. The horizontal $\flat^*$ is the map in the previous proposition. Since, by Lemma 4.5, each of the maps $\flat_i^*$ commutes with D , so does $\flat^*$. Hence we have a double complex with co-augmentations of the rows and of the columns, in which all the co-augmented rows and columns are exact. It follows that the vertical co-augmentation complex and the horizontal one have isomorphic cohomology (the inclusions by $\flat_0^*$ and d_0^* , respectively, into the total complex of the non co-augmented double complex, are quasi-isomorphisms). This proves the theorem.

References

- [1] C. Arias Abad and M. Crainic. Representations up to homotopy of Lie algebroids. arXiv:0901.0319.
- [2] C. Arias Abad, M. Crainic, and B. Dherin. Tensor products of representations up to homotopy. in preparation.
- [3] Kai A. Behrend. On the de Rham cohomology of differential and algebraic stacks. *Adv. Math.*, 198(2):583–622, 2005.
- [4] Armand Borel. Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 57:115–207, 1953.
- [5] R. Bott. On the Chern-Weil homomorphism and the continuous cohomology of Lie-groups. *Advances in Math.*, 11:289–303, 1973.
- [6] R. Bott, H. Shulman and J. Stasheff. On the de Rham theory of certain classifying spaces. *Advances in Math.*, 20:43–56, 1976.
- [7] Marius Crainic. Differentiable and algebroid cohomology, van Est isomorphisms, and characteristic classes. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 78(4):681–721, 2003.
- [8] Marius Crainic and Rui Loja Fernandes. A geometric approach to Conn’s linearization theorem. arXiv:0812.3060.
- [9] Marius Crainic and Rui Loja Fernandes. Integrability of Lie brackets. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 157(2):575–620, 2003.
- [10] Ezra Getzler. The equivariant Chern character for non-compact Lie groups. *Adv. Math.*, 109(1):88–107, 1994.
- [11] M. Hovey. Model categories. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. 63. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [12] Tornike Kadeishvili. The algebraic structure in the homology of an $A(\infty)$ -algebra. *Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR.*, no. 2, 249–252, 1984.
- [13] B. Keller. Deriving DG categories. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, 27(4), 63–102, 1994.
- [14] K. Mackenzie. General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids. *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. 213, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [15] Daniel Quillen. Superconnections and the Chern character. *Topology*, 24(1):89–95, 1985.
- [16] Graeme Segal. Categories and cohomology theories. *Topology*, 13:293–312, 1974.
- [17] James Stasheff. *H-spaces from a homotopy point of view*, volume 161 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1970 v+95 pp.
- [18] Xiang Tang. Deformation quantization of pseudo-symplectic (Poisson) groupoids. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 3:731–766, 2006.
- [19] Jean Louis Tu. La conjecture de Novikov pour les Feuilletages hyperboliques. *K-theory*, 16:129–184, 1999.

- [20] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [21] Alan Weinstein. Linearization of regular proper groupoids. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 1(3):493–511, 2002.